D. Angella, A. Tomassini, Inequalities *à la* Frölicher and cohomological decompositions, to appear in *J. Noncommut. Geom.*.

arXiv:1403.2298v1 [math.DG] 10 Mar 2014

INEQUALITIES *À LA* FRÖLICHER AND COHOMOLOGICAL DECOMPOSITIONS

DANIELE ANGELLA AND ADRIANO TOMASSINI

Abstract. We study Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies of a vector space endowed with two anti-commuting endomorphisms whose square is zero. In particular, we prove an inequality *à la* Frölicher relating the dimensions of the Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies to the dimensions of the Dolbeault cohomologies. We prove that the equality in such an inequality *à la* Frölicher characterizes the validity of the so-called cohomological property of satisfying the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma. As an application, we study cohomological properties of compact either complex, or symplectic, or, more in general, generalized-complex manifolds.

Introduction

Given a compact complex manifold X, the *Bott-Chern cohomology*, $H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(X)$, [10], and the *Aeppli cohomology*, $H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{A}(X)$, [1], provide useful invariants, and have been studied by several authors in different contexts, see, e.g., [1, 10, 8, 16, 47, 2, 42, 32, 9, 46, 3, 5]. In the case of compact Kähler manifolds, or, more in general, of compact complex manifolds satisfying the *$\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma*, the Bott-Chern and the Aeppli cohomology groups are naturally isomorphic to the Dolbeault cohomology groups. The $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma for compact complex manifolds has been studied by P. Deligne, Ph. A. Griffiths, J. Morgan, and D. P. Sullivan in [16], where it is proven that the validity of the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma on a compact complex manifold X yields the formality of the differential graded algebra $(\wedge^\bullet X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \mathrm{d})$, [16, Main Theorem]; in particular, a topological obstruction to the existence of Kähler structures on compact differentiable manifolds follows, [16, Lemma 5.11]. Furthermore, they showed that any compact manifold admitting a proper modification from a Kähler manifold (namely, a manifold in class $\mathcal{C}$ of Fujiki, [20]) satisfies the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma, [16, Corollary 5.23]. An adapted version of the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma for differential graded Lie algebras has been considered also in [21] by W. M. Goldman and J. J. Millson, where they used a "principle of two types", see [21, Proposition 7.3(ii)], as a key tool to prove formality of certain differential graded Lie algebras in the context of deformation theory, [21, Corollary page 84]. An algebraic approach to the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma has been developed also by Y. I. Manin in [37] in the context of differential Gerstenhaber-Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras, in order to study Frobenius manifolds arising by means of solutions of Maurer-Cartan type equations. A generalized complex version of the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma has been introduced and studied by G. R. Cavalcanti in [12, 13].

Since Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies on compact Kähler manifolds coincide with Dolbeault cohomology, in [5], we were concerned in studying Bott-Chern cohomology of compact complex (possibly non-Kähler) manifolds X, showing the following *inequality à la Frölicher*, which relates the dimensions of the Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies to the Betti numbers, [5, Theorem A]:

$$\text{for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}\,, \qquad \sum_{p+q=k} \left(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X)\right) \;\geq\; 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^k_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})\,;$$

furthermore, the authors showed that the equality in the above inequality holds for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if X satisfies the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma, [5, Theorem B].

It turns out that such results depend actually on the structure of double complex of $\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X,\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$. In this paper, we are concerned in a generalization of the inequality *à la* Frölicher in a more algebraic framework, so as to highlight the algebraic aspects. As an application, we recover the above results on the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32Q99, 53D05, 53D18.

Key words and phrases. $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma, Bott-Chern cohomology, Aeppli cohomology, generalized-complex, symplectic.

This work was supported by the Project PRIN "Varietà reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica", by the Project FIRB "Geometria Differenziale e Teoria Geometrica delle Funzioni", and by GNSAGA of INdAM.

cohomology of compact complex manifolds, and we get results on the cohomology of compact symplectic manifolds and compact generalized complex manifolds: more precisely, characterizations of compact symplectic manifolds satisfying the Hard Lefschetz Condition and of compact generalized complex manifolds satisfying the $\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{J}}$-Lemma are provided.

More precisely, consider a double complex $\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ of $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces (namely, a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $B^{\bullet,\bullet}$ endowed with $\partial \in \mathrm{End}^{1,0}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ and $\overline{\partial} \in \mathrm{End}^{0,1}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ such that $\partial^2 = \overline{\partial}^2 = \partial\overline{\partial}+\overline{\partial}\partial = 0$). Several cohomologies can be studied: other than the *Dolbeault cohomologies*

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\partial;\partial)}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \;:=\; \frac{\ker\partial}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial} \qquad \text{and} \qquad H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\overline{\partial};\overline{\partial})}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \;:=\; \frac{\ker\overline{\partial}}{\mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial}}\;,$$

and than the cohomology of the associated total complex, $\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\, B^{\bullet,\bullet} := \bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} B^{p,q},\, \mathrm{d} := \partial + \overline{\partial}\right)$,

$$H^{\bullet}_{(\mathrm{d};\mathrm{d})}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\, B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \;:=\; \frac{\ker\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d}}\;,$$

one can consider also the *Bott-Chern cohomology* and the *Aeppli cohomology*, that is,

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\partial,\overline{\partial};\partial\overline{\partial})}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \;:=\; \frac{\ker\partial\cap\ker\overline{\partial}}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial\overline{\partial}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\partial\overline{\partial};\partial,\overline{\partial})}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \;:=\; \frac{\ker\partial\overline{\partial}}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial+\mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial}}\;.$$

The identity induces natural morphisms of (possibly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, possibly $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded) $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces:



In general, the above maps are neither injective nor surjective; actually, the map $H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\partial,\overline{\partial};\partial\overline{\partial})}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \to H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\partial\overline{\partial};\partial,\overline{\partial})}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)$ being injective is equivalent to all the above maps being isomorphisms, [16, Lemma 5.15, Remark 5.16, 5.21]. In such a case, one says that $\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ *satisfies the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma*.

By considering the spectral sequence associated to the structure of double complex of $\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$, one gets the *Frölicher inequality*, [19, Theorem 2],

$$\min\left\{\dim_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\, H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\partial;\partial)}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\, \dim_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\, H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\overline{\partial};\overline{\partial})}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\right\} \;\geq\; \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\mathrm{d};\mathrm{d})}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\, B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\;.$$

We prove an *inequality à la Frölicher* also for the Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies. More precisely, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1 (see Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.6). *Let $A^{\bullet}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_1}\left(A^{\bullet}\right)$ and $\delta_2 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_2}\left(A^{\bullet}\right)$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$. Suppose that*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}\left(A^{\bullet}\right) < +\infty \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}\left(A^{\bullet}\right) \;<\; +\infty\;.$$

Then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}\left(A^{\bullet}\right) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}\left(A^{\bullet}\right) \;\geq\; \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}\left(A^{\bullet}\right) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}\left(A^{\bullet}\right)\;.$$

In particular, given a bounded double complex $\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$, and supposed that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\, H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) < +\infty \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\, H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \;<\; +\infty\;,$$

then, for $\pm \in \{+,-\}$,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\, H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\, H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \;\geq\; 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1\pm\delta_2;\delta_1\pm\delta_2)}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\, B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\;.$$

Furthermore, we provide a characterization of the equality in the above inequality *à la* Frölicher in terms of the validity of the $\delta_1\delta_2$-Lemma.

Theorem 2 (see Theorem 3.3). *Let $(B^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \delta_1,\, \delta_2)$ be a bounded double complex. Suppose that*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) < +\infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) \,<\, +\infty\,.$$

The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $B^{\bullet,\bullet}$ satisfies the $\delta_1\delta_2$-Lemma;

(ii) the equality

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}\left(B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\\ &\quad = \quad 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\, B^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\,.\end{aligned}$$

holds.

Given a compact complex manifold X, one can apply Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 3.3 to the double complex $\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X,\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$. More precisely, one recovers [5, Theorem A], getting that, on every compact complex manifold,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{A}(X) \;\geq\; 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{\bullet}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})\,,$$

and the characterization of the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma in terms of the Bott-Chern cohomology given in [5, Theorem B], namely, that the equality holds if and only if the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma holds.

Furthermore, Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 3.3 allow also to study the cohomology of compact manifolds X endowed with symplectic forms ω. In this case, one considers the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra $\wedge^{\bullet}X$ endowed with $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{End}^1\left(\wedge^{\bullet}X\right)$ and $\mathrm{d}^{\Lambda} := [\mathrm{d},\, -\iota_{\omega^{-1}}] \;\in\; \mathrm{End}^{-1}\left(\wedge^{\bullet}X\right)$, which satisfy $\mathrm{d}^2 = \left(\mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}\right)^2 = \mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^{\Lambda} + \mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}\,\mathrm{d} = 0$. The symplectic Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies have been introduced and studied by L.-S. Tseng and S.-T. Yau in [44, 45, 46]. In particular, we get the following result.

Theorem 3 (see Theorem 4.4). *Let X be a compact manifold endowed with a symplectic structure ω. The inequality*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^{\bullet}_{\left(\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^{\Lambda};\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}\right)}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^{\bullet}_{\left(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^{\Lambda};\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^{\Lambda}\right)}(X) \;\geq\; 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^{\bullet}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R}) \tag{5}$$

holds. Furthermore, the equality in (5) *holds if and only if X satisfies the Hard Lefschetz Condition.*

We recall that a compact $2n$-dimensional manifold X endowed with a symplectic form ω is said to satisfy the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* if $[\omega]^k \smile \cdot : H^{n-k}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R}) \to H^{n+k}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Finally, Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 3.3 can be applied also to the study of the cohomology of generalized-complex manifolds. Generalized-complex geometry has been introduced by N. Hitchin in [29], and studied, among others, by M. Gualtieri, [24, 26, 25], and G. R. Cavalcanti, [12]. It provides a way to generalize both complex and symplectic geometry, since complex structures and symplectic structures appear as special cases of generalized-complex structures. See, e.g., [30] for an introduction to generalized-complex geometry; the cohomology of generalized-complex manifolds has been studied especially by G. R. Cavalcanti, [12, 13, 14]. On a manifold X endowed with an H-twisted generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$, (see §4.3 for the definitions,) one can consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-graduation $\mathrm{Tot}\wedge^{\bullet}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} U^k_{\mathcal{J}}$, and the endomorphisms $\partial_{\mathcal{J},H} \in \mathrm{End}^1\left(U^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{J}}\right)$ and $\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H} \in \mathrm{End}^{-1}\left(U^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{J}}\right)$, which satisfy $\partial^2_{\mathcal{J},H} = \overline{\partial}^2_{\mathcal{J},H} = \partial_{\mathcal{J},H}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}\partial_{\mathcal{J},H} = 0$; then, let

$$GH^{\bullet}_{\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) \;:=\; \frac{\ker\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}}\,, \qquad GH^{\bullet}_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) \;:=\; \frac{\ker\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}}{\mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}}\,,$$

and

$$GH^{\bullet}_{BC_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) \;:=\; \frac{\ker\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}\cap\ker\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}}\,, \qquad GH^{\bullet}_{A_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) \;:=\; \frac{\ker\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial_{\mathcal{J},H} + \mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}}\,.$$

The above general results yield the following.

Theorem 4 (see Theorem 4.10 and Theorem 4.11). *Let X be a compact differentiable manifold endowed with an H-twisted generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} GH^{\bullet}_{BC_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} GH^{\bullet}_{A_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) \;\geq\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} GH^{\bullet}_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} GH^{\bullet}_{\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X)\,. \tag{6}$$

Furthermore, X satisfies the $\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}$-Lemma if and only if the Hodge and Frölicher spectral sequences associated to the canonical double complex $\left(U^{\bullet_1-\bullet_2}_{\mathcal{J}}\otimes\beta^{\bullet_2},\, \partial_{\mathcal{J},H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{id},\, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\beta\right)$ degenerate at the first level and the equality in (6) *holds.*

1. Preliminaries and notation

Fix $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. In this section, we summarize some notation and results concerning graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces endowed with two commuting differentials.

1.1. **(Bi-)graded vector spaces.** We set the notation, in constructing two functors in order to change over $\mathbb{Z}$-graduation and $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graduation of a $\mathbb{K}$-vector space.

Consider a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_{1,1},\hat{\delta}_{1,2}}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})$ and $\delta_2 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_{2,1},\hat{\delta}_{2,2}}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$. Define the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space

$$\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}(A^{\bullet,\bullet}) := \bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} A^{p,q} ,$$

endowed with the endomorphisms

$$\delta_1 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_{1,1}+\hat{\delta}_{1,2}}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \delta_2 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_{2,1}+\hat{\delta}_{2,2}}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}(A^{\bullet,\bullet}))$$

such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$.

Conversely, consider a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $A^{\bullet}$ endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_1}(A^{\bullet})$ and $\delta_2 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_2}(A^{\bullet})$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$. Following [11, §1.3], [12, §4.2], see [22, §II.2], [15, §II], take an infinite cyclic multiplicative group $\{\beta^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ generated by some β, and consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\bigoplus_{\bullet\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{K}\,\beta^{\bullet}$. Define the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space

$$\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}(A^{\bullet}) := A^{\hat{\delta}_1 \bullet_1 + \hat{\delta}_2 \bullet_2} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}\,\beta^{\bullet_2} ,$$

endowed with the endomorphisms

$$\delta_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{End}^{1,0}(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}(A^{\bullet})) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \delta_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \beta \in \mathrm{End}^{1,0}(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}(A^{\bullet})) ,$$

which satisfy $(\delta_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{id})^2 = (\delta_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \beta)^2 = (\delta_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{id})(\delta_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \beta) + (\delta_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \beta)(\delta_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{id}) = 0$; following [11, §1.3], [12, §4.2], the double complex $(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}(A^{\bullet}), \delta_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{id}, \delta_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \beta)$ is called the *canonical double complex* associated to $A^{\bullet}$.

1.2. **Cohomologies.** Let $A^{\bullet}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_1}(A^{\bullet})$ and $\delta_2 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_2}(A^{\bullet})$ such that

$$\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0 .$$

Since one has the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector sub-spaces $\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2 \subseteq \ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2$, and $\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 \subseteq \ker\delta_1$, and $\mathrm{im}\,\delta_2 \subseteq \ker\delta_2$, and $\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2 \subseteq \ker\delta_1\delta_2$, one can define the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces

$$H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^{\bullet}) := \tfrac{\ker\delta_1\cap\ker\delta_2}{\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2} ,$$

$$H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}(A^{\bullet}) := \frac{\ker\delta_1}{\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1} , \qquad H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}(A^{\bullet}) := \frac{\ker\delta_2}{\mathrm{im}\,\delta_2} ,$$

$$H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^{\bullet}) := \tfrac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1+\mathrm{im}\,\delta_2} ,$$

and, since one has the $\mathbb{K}$-vector sub-space $\mathrm{im}\,(\delta_1+\delta_2) \subseteq \ker(\delta_1+\delta_2)$, one can define the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space

$$H_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}\,A^{\bullet}) := \frac{\ker(\delta_1+\delta_2)}{\mathrm{im}\,(\delta_1+\delta_2)} ;$$

we follow notation in [16, Remark 5.16]: more precisely, if maps $f_j\colon C_j \to A$ for $j \in \{1,\dots,r\}$ and $g_k\colon A \to B_k$ for $k \in \{1,\dots,s\}$ of $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces are given, then $H_{(f_1,\dots,f_r;g_1,\dots,g_s)}$ denotes the quotient $\frac{\bigcap_{j=1}^{r}\ker f_j}{\sum_{k=1}^{s}\mathrm{im}\,g_k}$. (Note that, up to consider $-\delta_2 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_2}(A^{\bullet})$ instead of $\delta_2 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_2}(A^{\bullet})$, one has the $\mathbb{K}$-vector sub-space $\mathrm{im}\,(\delta_1-\delta_2) \subseteq \ker(\delta_1-\delta_2)$, and hence one can consider also the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $H_{(\delta_1-\delta_2;\delta_1-\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}\,A^{\bullet}) := \frac{\ker(\delta_1-\delta_2)}{\mathrm{im}(\delta_1-\delta_2)}$; note that, for $\sharp_{\delta_1,\delta_2} \in \{(\delta_1;\delta_1), (\delta_2;\delta_2), (\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2), (\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)\}$, one has $H^{\bullet}_{\sharp_{\delta_1,\delta_2}}(A^{\bullet}) = H^{\bullet}_{\sharp_{\delta_1,-\delta_2}}(A^{\bullet})$.)

Remark 1.1. Note that $H_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(A^{\bullet})$ admits a $\left(\mathbb{Z}\Big/\left(\hat{\delta}_1-\hat{\delta}_2\right)\mathbb{Z}\right)$-graduation; in particular, if $\hat{\delta}_1 = \hat{\delta}_2$, then $H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(A^{\bullet})$ is actually a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space.

Remark 1.2. Note that, for $\sharp \in \{(\delta_1, \delta_2; \delta_1\delta_2), (\delta_1; \delta_1), (\delta_2; \delta_2), (\delta_1\delta_2; \delta_1, \delta_2)\}$, if $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ is actually $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded, then $H^\bullet_\sharp(A^\bullet)$ admits a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graduation such that $\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet H^{\bullet,\bullet}_\sharp(A^{\bullet,\bullet}) = H^\bullet_\sharp(\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet A^{\bullet,\bullet})$. Furthermore, for $\delta_1 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat\delta_{1,1},\hat\delta_{1,2}}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})$ and $\delta_2 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat\delta_{2,1},\hat\delta_{2,2}}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})$, one has that $H_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}\, A^\bullet)$ admits a $\left(\left(\mathbb{Z}\Big/\left(\hat\delta_{1,1}-\hat\delta_{2,1}\right)\mathbb{Z}\right)\times\left(\mathbb{Z}\Big/\left(\hat\delta_{1,2}-\hat\delta_{2,2}\right)\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$-graduation; in particular, if $\hat\delta_{1,1}=\hat\delta_{2,1}$ and $\hat\delta_{1,2}=\hat\delta_{2,2}$, then $H_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}\, A^\bullet)$ is actually $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded.

Since $\ker\delta_1\cap\ker\delta_2\subseteq\ker(\delta_1\pm\delta_2)$ and $\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2\subseteq\mathrm{im}\,(\delta_1\pm\delta_2)$ for $\pm\in\{+,-\}$, and $\ker\delta_1\cap\ker\delta_2\subseteq\ker\delta_1$ and $\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2\subseteq\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1$, and $\ker\delta_1\cap\ker\delta_2\subseteq\ker\delta_2$ and $\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2\subseteq\mathrm{im}\,\delta_2$, and $\ker(\delta_1\pm\delta_2)\subseteq\ker\delta_1\delta_2$ and $\mathrm{im}\,(\delta_1\pm\delta_2)\subseteq\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1+\mathrm{im}\,\delta_2$ for $\pm\in\{+,-\}$, and $\ker\delta_1\subseteq\ker\delta_1\delta_2$ and $\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\subseteq\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1+\mathrm{im}\,\delta_2$, and $\ker\delta_2\subseteq\ker\delta_1\delta_2$ and $\mathrm{im}\,\delta_2\subseteq\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1+\mathrm{im}\,\delta_2$, then the identity map induces natural morphisms of (possibly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, possibly $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded) $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces



(As a matter of notation, by writing, for example, $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)\to H_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}\, A^\bullet)$, we mean $\mathrm{Tot}\, H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)\to H_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}\, A^\bullet)$.)

1.3. **$\delta_1\delta_2$-Lemma.** Let $A^\bullet$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1\in\mathrm{End}^{\hat\delta_1}(A^\bullet)$ and $\delta_2\in\mathrm{End}^{\hat\delta_2}(A^\bullet)$ such that $\delta_1^2=\delta_2^2=\delta_1\delta_2+\delta_2\delta_1=0$, and consider the cohomologies introduced in §1.2. In general, the natural maps induced by the identity between such cohomologies are neither injective nor surjective: the following definition, [16], points out when they are actually isomorphisms.

Definition 1.3 ([16])**.** A $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $A^\bullet$ endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1\in\mathrm{End}^{\hat\delta_1}(A^\bullet)$ and $\delta_2\in\mathrm{End}^{\hat\delta_2}(A^\bullet)$ such that $\delta_1^2=\delta_2^2=\delta_1\delta_2+\delta_2\delta_1=0$ is said to satisfy the *$\delta_1\delta_2$-Lemma* if and only if

$$\ker\delta_1\cap\ker\delta_2\cap(\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1+\mathrm{im}\,\delta_2) \;=\; \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2\ ,$$

namely, if and only if the natural map $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)\to H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ induced by the identity is injective.

A $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1\in\mathrm{End}^{\hat\delta_{1,1},\hat\delta_{1,2}}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})$ and $\delta_2\in\mathrm{End}^{\hat\delta_{2,1},\hat\delta_{2,2}}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})$ such that $\delta_1^2=\delta_2^2=\delta_1\delta_2+\delta_2\delta_1=0$ is said to satisfy the *$\delta_1\delta_2$-Lemma* if and only if $\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet(A^{\bullet,\bullet})$ satisfies the $\delta_1\delta_2$-Lemma.

We recall the following result, which provides further characterizations of the validity of the $\delta_1\delta_2$-Lemma. (Note that, according to Remark 1.1 and Remark 1.2, the natural maps induced by the identity in Lemma 1.4 are maps of possibly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, possibly $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces.)

Lemma 1.4 (see [16, Lemma 5.15])**.** *Let $A^\bullet$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1\in\mathrm{End}^{\hat\delta_1}(A^\bullet)$ and $\delta_2\in\mathrm{End}^{\hat\delta_2}(A^\bullet)$ such that $\delta_1^2=\delta_2^2=\delta_1\delta_2+\delta_2\delta_1=0$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- *(i) $A^\bullet$ satisfies the $\delta_1\delta_2$-Lemma, namely, the natural map $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)\to H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ induced by the identity is injective;*
- *(ii) the natural map $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)\to H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ induced by the identity is surjective;*
- *(iii) both the natural map $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)\to H^\bullet_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}(A^\bullet)$ induced by the identity and the natural map $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)\to H^\bullet_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ induced by the identity are injective;*
- *(iv) both the natural map $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}(A^\bullet)\to H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ induced by the identity and the natural map $H^\bullet_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)\to H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ induced by the identity are surjective.*

Furthermore, suppose that the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\ker\delta_1\delta_2$ admits a $\mathbb{Z}$-graduation

$$\ker\delta_1\delta_2 \;=\; \bigoplus_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\ker\delta_1\delta_2\cap\tilde A^\ell\right)$$

with respect to which $\ker(\delta_1 \pm \delta_2) \cap \tilde{A}^\bullet = (\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2) \cap \tilde{A}^\bullet$. *(For example, if* $\hat{\delta}_1 \neq \hat{\delta}_2$*, then take the* $\mathbb{Z}$*-graduation given by* $A^\bullet$*. For example, if* $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ *is actually* $\mathbb{Z}^2$*-graded and* $\delta_1 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_{1,1},\hat{\delta}_{1,2}}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})$ *and* $\delta_2 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_{2,1},\hat{\delta}_{2,2}}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})$ *with* $\left(\hat{\delta}_{1,1},\hat{\delta}_{1,2}\right) \neq \left(\hat{\delta}_{2,1},\hat{\delta}_{2,2}\right)$*, then take the* $\mathbb{Z}$*-graduation induced by the* $\mathbb{Z}^2$*-graduation of* $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ *by means of a chosen bijection* $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}^2$*.) Then the previous conditions are equivalent to each of the following:*

- *(v) the natural map* $\mathrm{Tot}\, H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet) \to H_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}\, A^\bullet)$ *induced by the identity is injective;*
- *(vi) the natural map* $H_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}\, A^\bullet) \to \mathrm{Tot}\, H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ *induced by the identity is surjective;*
- *(vii) the natural map* $\mathrm{Tot}\, H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet) \to H_{(\delta_1-\delta_2;\delta_1-\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}\, A^\bullet)$ *induced by the identity is injective;*
- *(viii) the natural map* $H_{(\delta_1-\delta_2;\delta_1-\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}\, A^\bullet) \to \mathrm{Tot}\, H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ *induced by the identity is surjective.*

Proof. For the sake of completeness, we recall here the proof in [16].

[(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)*]*. By the hypothesis, $\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2 \cap (\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2) = \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2$, and we have to prove that $\ker\delta_2 \cap \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 \subseteq \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2$ and $\ker\delta_1 \cap \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2 \subseteq \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2$. Since $\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 \subseteq \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2$ and $\mathrm{im}\,\delta_2 \subseteq \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2$, one gets immediately that the natural maps $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet) \to H^\bullet_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}(A^\bullet)$ and $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet) \to H^\bullet_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ are injective.

[(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv)*]*. By the hypotheses, we have that $\ker\delta_2 \cap \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 = \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2$ and $\ker\delta_1 \cap \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2 = \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2$, and we have to prove that $\ker\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2 \supseteq \ker\delta_1\delta_2$ and $\ker\delta_2 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 \supseteq \ker\delta_1\delta_2$. Let $x \in \ker\delta_1\delta_2$. Then $\delta_1(x) \in \ker\delta_2 \cap \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 = \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2$: let $y \in A^\bullet$ be such that $\delta_1(x) = \delta_1\delta_2(y)$. Then $x = (x - \delta_2(y)) + \delta_2(y) \in \ker\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2$, since $\delta_1(x - \delta_2(y)) = 0$; it follows that the natural map $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}(A^\bullet) \to H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ is surjective. Analogously, $\delta_2(x) \in \ker\delta_1 \cap \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2 = \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2$: let z be such that $\delta_2(x) = \delta_1\delta_2(z)$. Then $x = (x + \delta_1(z)) - \delta_1(z) \in \ker\delta_2 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1$, since $\delta_2(x + \delta_1(z)) = 0$; it follows that the natural map $H^\bullet_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}(A^\bullet) \to H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ is surjective.

[(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)*]*. By the hypothesis, $\ker\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2 = \ker\delta_1\delta_2$ and $\ker\delta_2 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 = \ker\delta_1\delta_2$, and we have to prove that $(\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2) + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2 \supseteq \ker\delta_1\delta_2$. Since $\ker\delta_1\delta_2 = (\ker\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2) \cap (\ker\delta_2 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1) \subseteq (\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2) + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2$, one gets that the natural map $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet) \to H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ is surjective.

[(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i)*]*. By the hypothesis, $(\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2) + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2 = \ker\delta_1\delta_2$, and we have to prove that $\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2 \cap (\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2) \subseteq \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2$. Let $x =: \delta_1(y) + \delta_2(z) \in \ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2 \cap (\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2)$. Therefore $y \in \ker\delta_1\delta_2 = (\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2) + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2$ and $z \in \ker\delta_1\delta_2 = (\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2) + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2$. It follows that $\delta_1(y) \in \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2$ and $\delta_2(z) \in \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2$, and hence $x = \delta_1(y) + \delta_2(z) \in \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2$, proving that the natural map $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet) \to H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ is injective.

[(i) $\Rightarrow$ (v)***, and** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (vii)*]*. By the hypothesis, $\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2 \cap (\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2) = \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2$, and we have to prove that $\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2 \cap \mathrm{im}\,(\delta_1 \pm \delta_2) \subseteq \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2$ for $\pm \in \{+,-\}$. Since $\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2 \cap \mathrm{im}\,(\delta_1 \pm \delta_2) \subseteq \ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2 \cap (\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2)$, one gets immediately that the natural map $\mathrm{Tot}\, H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet) \to H_{(\delta_1\pm\delta_2;\delta_1\pm\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ is injective.

[(v) $\Rightarrow$ (vi)***, and** (vii) $\Rightarrow$ (viii)*]*. Fix $\pm \in \{+,-\}$. By the hypothesis, $\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2 \cap \mathrm{im}\,(\delta_1 \pm \delta_2) = \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2$, and we have to prove that $\ker(\delta_1 \pm \delta_2) + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2 \supseteq \ker\delta_1\delta_2$. Let $x \in \ker\delta_1\delta_2$. Then $(\delta_1 \pm \delta_2)(x) \in \ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2 \cap \mathrm{im}\,(\delta_1 \pm \delta_2) = \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2$; let $z \in \mathrm{Tot}\, A^\bullet$ be such that $(\delta_1 \pm \delta_2)(x) = \delta_1\delta_2(z)$. Since $(\delta_1 \pm \delta_2)\left(x \pm \frac{1}{2}\delta_1(z) - \frac{1}{2}\delta_2(z)\right) = 0$, one gets that $x = \left(x \pm \frac{1}{2}\delta_1(z) - \frac{1}{2}\delta_2(z)\right) - \left(\pm\frac{1}{2}\delta_1(z)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_2(z) \in \ker(\delta_1 \pm \delta_2) + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2$, proving that the natural map $H_{(\delta_1\pm\delta_2;\delta_1\pm\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}\, A^\bullet) \to \mathrm{Tot}\, H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ is surjective.

To conclude the equivalences, we assume the additional hypothesis given in the statement.

[(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)***, and** (viii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)*]*. Fix $\pm \in \{+,-\}$. By the hypothesis, $\ker(\delta_1 \pm \delta_2) + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2 = \ker\delta_1\delta_2$, and we have to prove that $(\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2) + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 + \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2 \supseteq \ker\delta_1\delta_2$. By the additional hypothesis, we have that $\ker\delta_1\delta_2$ admits a $\mathbb{Z}$-graduation $\ker\delta_1\delta_2 = \bigoplus_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\ker\delta_1\delta_2 \cap \tilde{A}^\ell\right)$ with respect to which

$\ker(\delta_1 \pm \delta_2) \cap \tilde{A}^\bullet = (\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2) \cap \tilde{A}^\bullet$. Then one has that

$$\begin{aligned}
\ker\delta_1\delta_2 &= \bigoplus_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\ker\delta_1\delta_2 \cap \tilde{A}^\ell\right) = \bigoplus_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} \left((\ker(\delta_1 \pm \delta_2) + \operatorname{im}\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2) \cap \tilde{A}^\ell\right) \\
&\subseteq \bigoplus_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\left(\ker(\delta_1 \pm \delta_2) \cap \tilde{A}^\ell\right) + \operatorname{im}\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2\right) = \bigoplus_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\left((\ker\delta_1 \cap \delta_2) \cap \tilde{A}^\ell\right) + \operatorname{im}\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2\right) \\
&\subseteq (\ker\delta_1 \cap \delta_2) + \operatorname{im}\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2 \,,
\end{aligned}$$

proving that the natural map $H_{(\delta_1\pm\delta_2;\delta_1\pm\delta_2)}(\operatorname{Tot} A^\bullet) \to \operatorname{Tot} H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ is surjective. □

By noting that, for $\sharp_{\delta_1,\delta_2} \in \{\delta_1,\, \delta_2,\, \delta_1\delta_2,\, \delta_1+\delta_2,\, \delta_1-\delta_2\}$,

$$(\ker\sharp_{\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id},\delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta})^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2} = (\ker\sharp_{\delta_1,\delta_2})^{\hat{\delta}_1\bullet_1+\hat{\delta}_2\bullet_2} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}\beta^{\bullet_2}$$

and

$$(\operatorname{im}\sharp_{\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id},\delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta})^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2} = (\operatorname{im}\sharp_{\delta_1,\delta_2})^{\hat{\delta}_1\bullet_1+\hat{\delta}_2\bullet_2} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}\beta^{\bullet_2} \,,$$

we get the following lemmata.

Lemma 1.5. *Let $A^\bullet$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \operatorname{End}^{\hat{\delta}_1}(A^\bullet)$ and $\delta_2 \in \operatorname{End}^{\hat{\delta}_2}(A^\bullet)$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$. Then, there are natural isomorphisms of $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces*

$$H^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}_{\sharp_{\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id},\delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta}}(\operatorname{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} A^\bullet) \simeq \operatorname{Doub}^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2} H^\bullet_{\sharp_{\delta_1,\delta_2}}(A^\bullet) \,,$$

where $\sharp_{\delta_1,\delta_2} \in \{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2),\, (\delta_1;\delta_1),\, (\delta_2;\delta_2),\, (\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)\}$.

Lemma 1.6. *Let $A^\bullet$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \operatorname{End}^{\hat{\delta}_1}(A^\bullet)$ and $\delta_2 \in \operatorname{End}^{\hat{\delta}_2}(A^\bullet)$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$. Denote the greatest common divisor of $\hat{\delta}_1$ and $\hat{\delta}_2$ by $\operatorname{GCD}\left(\hat{\delta}_1,\, \hat{\delta}_2\right)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $A^{\operatorname{GCD}\left(\hat{\delta}_1,\,\hat{\delta}_2\right)\bullet}$ *satisfies the $\delta_1\delta_2$-Lemma;*
(ii) $\operatorname{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}(A^\bullet)$ *satisfies the $(\delta_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{id})(\delta_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \beta)$-Lemma.*

Proof. Indeed,

$$(\ker(\delta_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{id}) \cap \operatorname{im}(\delta_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \beta))^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2} = \left(\ker\delta_1 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_2 \cap A^{\hat{\delta}_1\bullet_1+\hat{\delta}_2\bullet_2}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}\beta^{\bullet_2}$$

and

$$(\operatorname{im}(\delta_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{id})(\delta_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \beta))^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2} = \left(\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2 \cap A^{\hat{\delta}_1\bullet_1+\hat{\delta}_2\bullet_2}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}\beta^{\bullet_2} \,,$$

completing the proof. □

2. An inequality *à la* Frölicher

Let $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ be a bounded $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \operatorname{End}^{1,0}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})$ and $\delta_2 \in \operatorname{End}^{0,1}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$. The bi-grading induces two natural bounded filtrations of the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\operatorname{Tot}^\bullet(A^{\bullet,\bullet})$ endowed with the endomorphism $\delta_1+\delta_2 \in \operatorname{End}^1(\operatorname{Tot}^\bullet(A^{\bullet,\bullet}))$, namely,

$$\left\{ {}'F^p \operatorname{Tot}^\bullet(A^{\bullet,\bullet}) := \bigoplus_{\substack{r+s=\bullet \\ r\geq p}} A^{r,s} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Tot}^\bullet(A^{\bullet,\bullet}) \right\}_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

and

$$\left\{ {}''F^q \operatorname{Tot}^\bullet(A^{\bullet,\bullet}) := \bigoplus_{\substack{r+s=\bullet \\ s\geq q}} A^{r,s} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Tot}^\bullet(A^{\bullet,\bullet}) \right\}_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} .$$

Such filtrations induce naturally two spectral sequences, respectively,

$$\left\{{}'E_r^{\bullet,\bullet}(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \delta_1,\, \delta_2)\right\}_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left\{{}''E_r^{\bullet,\bullet}(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \delta_1,\, \delta_2)\right\}_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} \,,$$

such that

$${}'E_1^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\, \delta_1,\, \delta_2) \simeq H^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}(A^{\bullet,\bullet}) \Rightarrow H^{\bullet_1+\bullet_2}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\operatorname{Tot}^\bullet(A^{\bullet,\bullet})) \,,$$

and

$$''E_1^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet},\,\delta_1,\,\delta_2\right)\;\simeq\;H^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\;\Rightarrow\;H^{\bullet_1+\bullet_2}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\right)\;,$$

see, e.g., [39, §2.4], see also [23, §3.5].

By using these spectral sequences (and up to consider $-\delta_2$ instead of δ_2), one gets the classical *A. Frölicher inequality*.

Notation 2.1. Given two $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces $A^\bullet$ and $B^\bullet$, writing, for example, $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} A^\bullet \geq \dim_{\mathbb{K}} B^\bullet$, we mean that, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the inequality $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} A^k \geq \dim_{\mathbb{K}} B^k$ holds.

Proposition 2.2 ([19, Theorem 2])**.** *Let $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ be a bounded $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \mathrm{End}^{1,0}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)$ and $\delta_2 \in \mathrm{End}^{0,1}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$. Then, for $\pm \in \{+,-\}$,*

$$\min\left\{\dim_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\,H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right),\,\dim_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\,H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}\left(A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\right\}\;\geq\;\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1\pm\delta_2;\delta_1\pm\delta_2)}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\,A^{\bullet,\bullet}\right)\;.$$

As a straightforward consequence, the following result holds in the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded case.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $A^\bullet$ be a bounded $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_1}\left(A^\bullet\right)$ and $\delta_2 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_2}\left(A^\bullet\right)$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$. Then, for $\pm \in \{+,-\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\min\left\{\sum_{p+q=\bullet}\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\hat{\delta}_1\,p+\hat{\delta}_2\,q}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}\left(A^\bullet\right),\,\sum_{p+q=\bullet}\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\hat{\delta}_1\,p+\hat{\delta}_2\,q}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}\left(A^\bullet\right)\right\}\\ &\geq\;\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\bullet}_{((\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id})\pm(\delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta);(\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id})\pm(\delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta))}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\,\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\,A^\bullet\right)\;.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.5, one has that, for $\sharp_{\delta_1,\delta_2} \in \{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)\,,\,(\delta_1;\delta_1)\,,\,(\delta_2;\delta_2)\,,\,(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)\}$,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}_{\sharp_{\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id},\delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta}}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\,A^\bullet\right)\;=\;\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\hat{\delta}_1\,\bullet_1+\hat{\delta}_2\,\bullet_2}_{\sharp_{\delta_1,\delta_2}}\left(A^\bullet\right)\;.$$

Hence, by applying the classical Frölicher inequality, Proposition 2.2, to $\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}$ endowed with $\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id}$ and $\delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta$, one gets, for $\pm\in\{+,-\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\min\left\{\sum_{p+q=\bullet}\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\hat{\delta}_1\,p+\hat{\delta}_2\,q}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}\left(A^\bullet\right),\,\sum_{p+q=\bullet}\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\hat{\delta}_1\,p+\hat{\delta}_2\,q}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}\left(A^\bullet\right)\right\}\\ &=\;\min\left\{\sum_{p+q=\bullet}\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{p,q}_{(\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id};\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id})}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\,A^\bullet\right),\,\sum_{p+q=\bullet}\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{p,q}_{(\delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta;\delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta)}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\,A^\bullet\right)\right\}\\ &=\;\min\left\{\dim_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\,H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id};\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id})}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\,A^\bullet\right),\,\dim_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\,H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta;\delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta)}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\,A^\bullet\right)\right\}\\ &\geq\;\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\bullet}_{((\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id})\pm(\delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta);(\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id})\pm(\delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta))}\left(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet}\,\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\,A^\bullet\right)\;,\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. □

We prove the following inequality *à la* Frölicher involving the cohomologies $H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}\left(A^\bullet\right)$ and $H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}\left(A^\bullet\right)$, other than $H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}\left(A^\bullet\right)$ and $H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}\left(A^\bullet\right)$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $A^\bullet$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_1}\left(A^\bullet\right)$ and $\delta_2 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_2}\left(A^\bullet\right)$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$. Suppose that*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}\left(A^\bullet\right) < +\infty \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}\left(A^\bullet\right)\;<\;+\infty\,.$$

Then

$$(1)\qquad \dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}\left(A^\bullet\right)+\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}\left(A^\bullet\right)\;\geq\;\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}\left(A^\bullet\right)+\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}\left(A^\bullet\right)\;.$$

Proof. If either $H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}\left(A^\bullet\right)$ or $H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}\left(A^\bullet\right)$ is not finite-dimensional, then the inequality holds trivially; hence, we are reduced to suppose that also

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}\left(A^\bullet\right) < +\infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{K}}H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}\left(A^\bullet\right) < +\infty\,.$$

Following J. Varouchas, [47, §3.1], consider the exact sequences

$$0 \to \frac{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \to \frac{\ker\delta_1 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \to \frac{\ker\delta_1}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1} \to \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2} \to \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2} \to 0 \ ,$$

$$0 \to \frac{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \to \frac{\ker\delta_2 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_1}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \to \frac{\ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_2} \to \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2} \to \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_2 + \operatorname{im}\delta_1} \to 0 \ ,$$

$$0 \to \frac{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \to \frac{\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \to \frac{\ker\delta_1}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1} \to \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_2 + \operatorname{im}\delta_1} \to \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \ker\delta_2} \to 0 \ ,$$

$$0 \to \frac{\operatorname{im}\delta_2 \cap \ker\delta_1}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \to \frac{\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \to \frac{\ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_2} \to \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2} \to \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \ker\delta_2} \to 0$$

of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces.

Note that all the $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces appearing in the exact sequences have finite dimension. Indeed, since $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ has finite dimension, then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2} \ < \ +\infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_2 + \operatorname{im}\delta_1} \ < \ +\infty \ ;$$

since $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ has finite dimension, then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \ < \ +\infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\operatorname{im}\delta_2 \cap \ker\delta_1}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \ < \ +\infty \ .$$

Furthermore, note that the natural maps $\frac{\ker\delta_1 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \to H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ and $\frac{\ker\delta_2 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_1}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \to H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ induced by the identity are injective, and hence

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \ < \ +\infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_2 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_1}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \ < \ +\infty \ ;$$

it follows also that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \ < \ +\infty \ .$$

Analogously, since the natural maps $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet) \to \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_2 + \operatorname{im}\delta_1}$ and $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet) \to \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2}$ induced by the identity are surjective, then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_2 + \operatorname{im}\delta_1} \ < \ +\infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2} \ < \ +\infty \ ,$$

and hence also

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \ker\delta_2} \ < \ +\infty \ .$$

By using the above exact sequences, it follows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2} = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} - \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1} + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2} \ ,$$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2} = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} - \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_2 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_1}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_2} + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_2 + \operatorname{im}\delta_1} \ ,$$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1} - \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_2 + \operatorname{im}\delta_1} + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \ker\delta_2} \ ,$$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\operatorname{im}\delta_2 \cap \ker\delta_1}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_2} - \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2} + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \ker\delta_2} \ ,$$

from which, by summing up, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2} + 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \\ (2) \qquad & = \ 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1} + 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_2} + 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} + 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \ker\delta_2} \\ & \geq \ 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1} + 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_2} \ , \end{aligned}$$

yielding

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker \delta_1\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 + \operatorname{im}\delta_2} + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1 \cap \ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \;\geq\; \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_1}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1} + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_2}\,,$$

and hence the theorem. □

Remark 2.5. Note that the proof of Theorem 2.4 works also for $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces, since in this case J. Varouchas' exact sequences are in fact exact sequences of $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces. More precisely, one gets that, given a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $A^{\bullet,\bullet}$ endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \operatorname{End}^{\tilde{\delta}_{1,1},\tilde{\delta}_{1,2}}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})$ and $\delta_2 \in \operatorname{End}^{\tilde{\delta}_{2,1},\tilde{\delta}_{2,2}}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$, and supposed that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}(A^{\bullet,\bullet}) < +\infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}(A^{\bullet,\bullet}) \;<\; +\infty\,,$$

then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^{\bullet,\bullet}) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^{\bullet,\bullet}) \;\geq\; \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}(A^{\bullet,\bullet}) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}(A^{\bullet,\bullet})\,.$$

As a consequence of Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.2, one gets the following inequality *à la* Frölicher for double complexes, namely, $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces $B^{\bullet,\bullet}$ endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \operatorname{End}^{1,0}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ and $\delta_2 \in \operatorname{End}^{0,1}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $B^{\bullet,\bullet}$ be a bounded $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \operatorname{End}^{1,0}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ and $\delta_2 \in \operatorname{End}^{0,1}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$. Suppose that*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \operatorname{Tot}^\bullet H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) < +\infty \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \operatorname{Tot}^\bullet H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \;<\; +\infty\,.$$

Then, for $\pm \in \{+,-\}$,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \operatorname{Tot}^\bullet H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \operatorname{Tot}^\bullet H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \;\geq\; 2\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\pm\delta_2;\delta_1\pm\delta_2)}(\operatorname{Tot}^\bullet B^{\bullet,\bullet})\,. \tag{3}$$

3. A characterization of $\delta_1\delta_2$-Lemma by means of the inequality *à la* Frölicher

With the aim to characterize the validity of the $\delta_1\delta_2$-Lemma in terms of the dimensions of the cohomologies $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$ and $H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$, we need the following lemmata.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $B^{\bullet,\bullet}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \operatorname{End}^{1,0}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ and $\delta_2 \in \operatorname{End}^{0,1}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$. If*

$$\frac{\operatorname{im}\delta_1 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_2}{\operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2} \;=\; \{0\}\,,$$

then the natural map $\iota\colon \operatorname{Tot}^\bullet H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \to H^\bullet_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\operatorname{Tot}^\bullet B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ induced by the identity is surjective.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{a} =: [x] \in H^\bullet_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\operatorname{Tot}^\bullet B^{\bullet,\bullet})$. Since $\delta_1(x)+\delta_2(x) = 0$ and $\operatorname{im}\delta_1 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_2 = \operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2$, then we have $\delta_1(x) = -\delta_2(x) \in \operatorname{im}\delta_1 \cap \operatorname{im}\delta_2 \;=\; \operatorname{im}\delta_1\delta_2$; let $y \in \operatorname{Tot}^{\bullet-1} B^{\bullet,\bullet}$ be such that $\delta_1(x) = \delta_1\delta_2(y) = -\delta_2(x)$. Hence, consider $\mathfrak{a} = [x] = [x - (\delta_1+\delta_2)(y)] \in H^\bullet_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\operatorname{Tot}^\bullet B^{\bullet,\bullet})$, and note that $\mathfrak{a} = \iota([x-(\delta_1+\delta_2)(y)])$ where $[x-(\delta_1+\delta_2)(y)] \in \operatorname{Tot}^\bullet H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$, since $\delta_1(x-(\delta_1+\delta_2)(y)) = 0$ and $\delta_2(x-(\delta_1+\delta_2)(y)) = 0$. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $B^{\bullet,\bullet}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \operatorname{End}^{1,0}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ and $\delta_2 \in \operatorname{End}^{0,1}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$. If*

$$\frac{\ker\delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \ker\delta_2} \;=\; \{0\}\,,$$

then the natural map $\iota\colon H^\bullet_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\operatorname{Tot}^\bullet B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \to \operatorname{Tot}^\bullet H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ induced by the identity is injective.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{a} =: [x] \in H^\bullet_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\operatorname{Tot}^\bullet B^{\bullet,\bullet})$. Suppose that $\iota(\mathfrak{a}) = [0] \in \operatorname{Tot}^\bullet H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$, that is, there exist $y \in \operatorname{Tot}^{\bullet-1} B^{\bullet,\bullet}$ and $z \in \operatorname{Tot}^{\bullet-1} B^{\bullet,\bullet}$ such that $x = \delta_1(y) + \delta_2(z)$. Since $(\delta_1+\delta_2)(x) = 0$ and $\ker\delta_1\delta_2 = \ker\delta_1 + \ker\delta_2$, it follows that $\delta_1\delta_2(z-y) = 0$, that is, $z - y \in \ker\delta_1\delta_2 = \ker\delta_1 + \ker\delta_2$. Let $u \in \ker\delta_1$ and $v \in \ker\delta_2$ be such that $z - y = u + v$. Then, one has that $x = \delta_1(y) + \delta_2(z) = \delta_1(y)+\delta_2(y+u+v) = (\delta_1+\delta_2)(y+u) \in \operatorname{im}(\delta_1+\delta_2)$, proving that $\mathfrak{a} = [0] \in H^\bullet_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\operatorname{Tot}^\bullet B^{\bullet,\bullet})$. □

We can now prove the following characterization of the $\delta_1\delta_2$-Lemma for double complexes in terms of the equality in (3).

Theorem 3.3. *Let $B^{\bullet,\bullet}$ be a bounded $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \mathrm{End}^{1,0}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ and $\delta_2 \in \mathrm{End}^{0,1}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$. Suppose that*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) < +\infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \ < \ +\infty \ .$$

The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $B^{\bullet,\bullet}$ satisfies the $\delta_1\delta_2$-Lemma;

(ii) the equality

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \\ &= \ 2\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \ . \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Proof. *[*(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)*]*. By Lemma 1.4, it follows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \ \leq \ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet})$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \ \leq \ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \ .$$

By Corollary 2.6, it follows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \ \geq \ 2\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \ .$$

Hence actually the equality holds.

[(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i)*]*. Since, by (2) and Proposition 2.2, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \\ &= \ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \\ &\quad + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 \cap \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2}{\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2} + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{\ker \delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \ker\delta_2} \\ &\geq \ 2\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \ , \end{aligned}$$

then, by the hypothesis, it follows that

$$\frac{\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1 \cap \mathrm{im}\,\delta_2}{\mathrm{im}\,\delta_1\delta_2} \ = \ \{0\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{\ker \delta_1\delta_2}{\ker\delta_1 + \ker\delta_2} \ = \ \{0\} \ .$$

By Lemma 3.1, one gets that the natural map $H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \to H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ induced by the identity is surjective; by Lemma 3.2, one gets that the natural map $H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \to H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ induced by the identity is injective. In particular, one has that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \ \geq \ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet})$$

and that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \ \geq \ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \ .$$

Hence, by the hypothesis, it holds in fact that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \ = \ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \ = \ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \ .$$

Since $H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ and $H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ are finite-dimensional by hypothesis, it follows that the natural maps $H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \to H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ and $H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1+\delta_2;\delta_1+\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet}) \to H^{\bullet}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\bullet} B^{\bullet,\bullet})$ induced by the identity are in fact isomorphisms. By Lemma 1.4, one gets the theorem. □

In order to apply Theorem 3.3 to $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces to get geometric applications, e.g., for compact symplectic manifolds, we need to record the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $A^\bullet$ be a bounded $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_1}(A^\bullet)$ and $\delta_2 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_2}(A^\bullet)$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$. Denote the greatest common divisor of $\hat{\delta}_1$ and $\hat{\delta}_2$ by $\mathrm{GCD}\left(\hat{\delta}_1, \hat{\delta}_2\right)$. Suppose that*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^\bullet_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}(A^\bullet) < +\infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^\bullet_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}(A^\bullet) \ < \ +\infty \ .$$

The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $A^{\mathrm{GCD}\left(\hat{\delta}_1, \hat{\delta}_2\right)\bullet}$ *satisfies the $\delta_1\delta_2$-Lemma;*

(ii) the equality

$$\sum_{p+q=\bullet} \left(\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\hat{\delta}_1 p + \hat{\delta}_2 q}_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^{\hat{\delta}_1 p + \hat{\delta}_2 q}_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet) \right)$$
$$= \ 2 \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id} + \delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta;\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id} + \delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta)}(\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet \mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} A^\bullet) \ .$$

holds.

Proof. The Corollary follows from Lemma 1.6, Theorem 3.3, and Lemma 1.5. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let $A^\bullet$ be a bounded $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space endowed with two endomorphisms $\delta_1 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_1}(A^\bullet)$ and $\delta_2 \in \mathrm{End}^{\hat{\delta}_2}(A^\bullet)$ such that $\delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2 = \delta_1\delta_2 + \delta_2\delta_1 = 0$. Suppose that the greatest common divisor of $\hat{\delta}_1$ and $\hat{\delta}_2$ is $\mathrm{GCD}\left(\hat{\delta}_1, \hat{\delta}_2\right) = 1$, and that*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^\bullet_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}(A^\bullet) < +\infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^\bullet_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}(A^\bullet) \ < \ +\infty \ .$$

The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $A^\bullet$ *satisfies the $\delta_1\delta_2$-Lemma;*

(ii) (a) both the Hodge and Frölicher spectral sequences of $(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} A^\bullet, \delta_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{id}, \delta_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \beta)$ *degenerate at the first level, equivalently, the equalities*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id} + \delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta;\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id} + \delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta)}(\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet \mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} A^\bullet)$$
$$= \ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^\bullet H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta;\delta_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\beta)}(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} A^\bullet)$$
$$= \ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{Tot}^\bullet H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id};\delta_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{id})}(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} A^\bullet)$$

hold;

(b) the equality

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^\bullet_{(\delta_1,\delta_2;\delta_1\delta_2)}(A^\bullet) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^\bullet_{(\delta_1\delta_2;\delta_1,\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$$
$$= \ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^\bullet_{(\delta_1;\delta_1)}(A^\bullet) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^\bullet_{(\delta_2;\delta_2)}(A^\bullet)$$

holds.

Proof. The Corollary follows from Corollary 3.4, Proposition 2.2, Theorem 2.4, and Lemma 1.5. □

4. Applications

In this section, we prove or recover applications of the inequality *à la* Frölicher, Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 3.3, to the complex, symplectic, and generalized complex cases.

4.1. **Complex structures.** Let X be a compact complex manifold. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X$ of bi-graded complex differential forms endowed with the endomorphisms $\partial \in \mathrm{End}^{1,0}(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X)$ and $\overline{\partial} \in \mathrm{End}^{0,1}(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X)$, which satisfy $\partial^2 = \overline{\partial}^2 = \partial\overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial}\partial = 0$. As usual, define the Dolbeault cohomologies as

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\partial}(X) \ := \ H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{(\partial;\partial)}(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X) \ , \qquad H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) \ := \ H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\left(\overline{\partial};\overline{\partial}\right)}(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X) \ ,$$

and the *Bott-Chern cohomology* and the *Aeppli cohomology* as, respectively, [10, 1],

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(X) \ := \ H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\left(\partial,\overline{\partial};\partial\overline{\partial}\right)}(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X) \ , \qquad H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{A}(X) \ := \ H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\left(\partial\overline{\partial};\partial,\overline{\partial}\right)}(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X) \ .$$

Note that, since X is a compact manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Tot}^\bullet H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) < +\infty$: indeed, for any Hermitian metric g with $\mathbb{C}$-linear Hodge-$*$-operator $*_g \colon \wedge^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2} X \to \wedge^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X - \bullet_2, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X - \bullet_1}$, one has an isomorphism $\ker\left[\overline{\partial}, \overline{\partial}^*\right] \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^\bullet_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$, where $\overline{\partial}^*$ is the adjoint operator of $\overline{\partial}$ with respect to the inner product induced

on $\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X$ by g, and the 2^{nd}-order self-adjoint differential operator $\left[\overline{\partial},\,\overline{\partial}^*\right]$ is elliptic. Furthermore, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\operatorname{Tot}^\bullet H_{\partial}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)=\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\operatorname{Tot}^\bullet H_{\overline{\partial}}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)<+\infty$, since conjugation induces the ($\mathbb{C}$-anti-linear) isomorphism $H_{\partial}^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}(X)\simeq H_{\overline{\partial}}^{\bullet_2,\bullet_1}(X)$ of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces.

Note also that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\operatorname{Tot}^\bullet H_{BC}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)=\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\operatorname{Tot}^{2\dim_{\mathbb{C}}X-\bullet}H_{A}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)<+\infty$, [42, Corollaire 2.3, §2.c]: indeed, for any Hermitian metric g on X, the $\mathbb{C}$-linear Hodge-$*$-operator $*_g\colon\wedge^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}X\to\wedge^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}X-\bullet_2,\dim_{\mathbb{C}}X-\bullet_1}X$ induces the isomorphism $*_g\colon H_{BC}^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}(X)\xrightarrow{\simeq}H_{A}^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}X-\bullet_2,\dim_{\mathbb{C}}X-\bullet_1}(X)$, [42, §2.c], and $\ker\tilde{\Delta}_{BC}\xrightarrow{\simeq}H_{BC}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)$, [42, Théorème 2.2], where $\tilde{\Delta}_{BC}:=\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)^*+\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)^*\left(\partial\overline{\partial}\right)+\left(\overline{\partial}^*\partial\right)\left(\overline{\partial}^*\partial\right)^*+\left(\overline{\partial}^*\partial\right)^*\left(\overline{\partial}^*\partial\right)+\overline{\partial}^*\overline{\partial}+\partial^*\partial$ is a 4^{th}-order self-adjoint elliptic differential operator, [31, Proposition 5], see also [42, §2.b].

By abuse of notation, one says that X *satisfies the* $\partial\overline{\partial}$*-Lemma* if the double complex $\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X,\,\partial,\,\overline{\partial}\right)$ satisfies the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma, and one says that X *satisfies the* $\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^c$*-Lemma* if the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\wedge^\bullet X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$ endowed with the endomorphisms $\mathrm{d}\in\operatorname{End}^1\left(\wedge^\bullet X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)$ and $\mathrm{d}^c:=-\,\mathrm{i}\left(\partial-\overline{\partial}\right)\in\operatorname{End}^1\left(\wedge^\bullet X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{d}^2=\left(\mathrm{d}^c\right)^2=[\mathrm{d},\,\mathrm{d}^c]=0$ satisfies the $\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^c$-Lemma. Actually, it turns out that X satisfies the $\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^c$-Lemma if and only if X satisfies the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma, [16, Remark 5.14]: indeed, note that $\partial=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{d}^c\right)$ and $\overline{\partial}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{d}^c\right)$, and $\partial\overline{\partial}=-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\,\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^c$.

From Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 3.3, one gets straightforwardly the following inequality *à la* Frölicher for the Bott-Chern cohomology of a compact complex manifolds and the corresponding characterization of the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma by means of the Bott-Chern cohomology, first proved by the authors in [5].

Corollary 4.1 ([5, Theorem A, Theorem B])**.** *Let X be a compact complex manifold. The inequality*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\operatorname{Tot}^\bullet H_{BC}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)+\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\operatorname{Tot}^\bullet H_{A}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)\;\geq\;2\,\dim_{\mathbb{C}}H_{dR}^\bullet(X;\mathbb{C}) \tag{4}$$

holds. Furthermore, the equality in (4) *holds if and only if X satisfies the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma.*

4.2. **Symplectic structures.** Let X be a $2n$-dimensional compact manifold endowed with a *symplectic structure* ω, namely, a non-degenerate d-closed 2-form on X. The symplectic form ω induces a natural isomorphism $I\colon TX\xrightarrow{\simeq}T^*X$; more precisely, $I(\cdot)(\cdot\cdot):=\omega(\cdot,\cdot\cdot)$. Set $\Pi=:\omega^{-1}:=\omega\left(I^{-1}\cdot,I^{-1}\,\cdot\cdot\right)\in\wedge^2TX$ the *canonical Poisson bi-vector* associated to ω, namely, in a Darboux chart with local coordinates $\left\{x^1,\ldots,x^n,y^1,\ldots,y^n\right\}$ such that $\omega\overset{\text{loc}}{=}\sum_{j=1}^n\mathrm{d}\,x^j\wedge\mathrm{d}\,y^j$, one has $\omega^{-1}\overset{\text{loc}}{=}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}$. One gets a bi-$\mathbb{R}$-linear form on $\wedge^kX$, denoted by $\left(\omega^{-1}\right)^k$, by defining it on the simple elements $\alpha^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha^k\in\wedge^kX$ and $\beta^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta^k\in\wedge^kX$ as

$$\left(\omega^{-1}\right)^k\left(\alpha^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha^k,\,\beta^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta^k\right)\;:=\;\det\left(\omega^{-1}\left(\alpha^\ell,\,\beta^m\right)\right)_{\ell,m\in\{1,\ldots,k\}}\;;$$

note that $\left(\omega^{-1}\right)^k$ is skew-symmetric, respectively symmetric, according to k is odd, respectively even.

We recall that the operators

$$\begin{aligned}
L\;&\in\;\operatorname{End}^2\left(\wedge^\bullet X\right)\,,&\qquad L(\alpha)\;&:=\;\omega\wedge\alpha\,,\\
\Lambda\;&\in\;\operatorname{End}^{-2}\left(\wedge^\bullet X\right)\,,&\qquad \Lambda(\alpha)\;&:=\;-\iota_\Pi\alpha\,,\\
H\;&\in\;\operatorname{End}^0\left(\wedge^\bullet X\right)\,,&\qquad H(\alpha)\;&:=\;\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}(n-k)\;\pi_{\wedge^kX}\alpha\,,
\end{aligned}$$

yield an $\mathfrak{sl}(2;\mathbb{R})$-representation on $\wedge^\bullet X$ (where $\iota_\xi\colon\wedge^\bullet X\to\wedge^{\bullet-2}X$ denotes the interior product with $\xi\in\wedge^2(TX)$, and $\pi_{\wedge^kX}\colon\wedge^\bullet X\to\wedge^kX$ denotes the natural projection onto $\wedge^kX$, for $k\in\mathbb{Z}$).

Define the *symplectic co-differential operator* as

$$\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\;:=\;[\mathrm{d},\,\Lambda]\;\in\;\operatorname{End}^{-1}\left(\wedge^\bullet X\right)\,;$$

one has that $\left(\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)^2=\left[\mathrm{d},\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right]=0$, see [33, page 266, page 265], [11, Proposition 1.2.3, Theorem 1.3.1].

As a matter of notation, for $\sharp\in\left\{\left(\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right),\,(\mathrm{d};\mathrm{d}),\,\left(\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right),\,\left(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)\right\}$, we shorten $H_\sharp^\bullet(X):=H_\sharp^\bullet\left(\wedge^\bullet X\right)$. Note that $H_{(\mathrm{d};\mathrm{d})}^\bullet(X)=H_{dR}^\bullet(X;\mathbb{R})$. As regards notation introduced by L.-S. Tseng and S.-T. Yau in [44, §3], note that $H_{\left(\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)}^\bullet(X)=H_{\mathrm{d}^\Lambda}^\bullet(X)$, and that $H_{\left(\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)}^\bullet(X)=H_{\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}^\Lambda}^\bullet(X)$, and that $H_{\left(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)}^\bullet(X)=H_{\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda}^\bullet(X)$.

Note also that, as a consequence of the Hodge theory developed by L.-S. Tseng and S.-T. Yau in [44, Proposition 3.3, Theorem 3.5, Theorem 3.16], one has that, [44, Corollary 3.6, Corollary 3.17], X being compact, for $\sharp \in \left\{ \left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda; \mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right), (\mathrm{d}; \mathrm{d}), \left(\mathrm{d}^\Lambda; \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right), \left(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda; \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right) \right\}$,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^\bullet_\sharp(X) \ < \ +\infty \ .$$

With the aim to develop a symplectic counterpart of Riemannian Hodge theory for compact symplectic manifolds, J.-L. Brylinski defined the *symplectic-$\star$-operator*, [11, §2],

$$\star_\omega \colon \ \wedge^\bullet X \to \wedge^{2n-\bullet} X$$

requiring that, for every α, $\beta \in \wedge^k X$,

$$\alpha \wedge \star_\omega \beta \ = \ \left(\omega^{-1} \right)^k (\alpha, \beta) \ \omega^n \ .$$

Since $\mathrm{d}^\Lambda \lfloor_{\wedge^k X} = (-1)^{k+1} \ \star_\omega \ \mathrm{d} \ \star_\omega$, [11, Theorem 2.2.1], and $\star_\omega^2 = \mathrm{id}$, [11, Lemma 2.1.2], then one gets that $\star_\omega$ induces the isomorphism

$$\star_\omega \colon H^\bullet_{(\mathrm{d};\mathrm{d})}(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2n-\bullet}_{\left(\mathrm{d}^\Lambda; \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right)}(X) \ .$$

In particular, by the Poincaré duality, it follows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^\bullet_{(\mathrm{d};\mathrm{d})}(X) \ = \ \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^\bullet_{\left(\mathrm{d}^\Lambda; \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right)}(X) \ < \ +\infty \ .$$

Furthermore, by choosing an almost-complex structure J compatible with ω (namely, such that $\omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ is positive definite and $\omega(J\cdot, J\,\cdot) = \omega$), and by considering the J-Hermitian metric $g := \omega(\cdot, J\,\cdot)$, one gets that, [44, Corollary 3.25], the Hodge-$*$-operator $*_g \colon \ \wedge^\bullet X \to \wedge^{2n-\bullet} X$ associated to g induces the isomorphism, [11, Corollary 2.2.2],

$$*_g \colon H^\bullet_{\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda; \mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right)}(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{2n-\bullet}_{\left(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda; \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right)}(X) \ .$$

In particular, it follows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^\bullet_{\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda; \mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right)}(X) \ = \ \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^{2n-\bullet}_{\left(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda; \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right)}(X) \ < \ +\infty \ .$$

Recall that one says that the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* holds on X if

(HLC) $$\text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N} \ , \qquad L^k \colon H^{n-k}_{dR}(X; \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{n+k}_{dR}(X; \mathbb{R}) \ .$$

As in [6], and miming [34] in the almost-complex case, define, for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$H^{(r,s)}_\omega(X; \mathbb{R}) \ := \ \left\{ \left[L^r \, \gamma^{(s)} \right] \in H^{2r+s}_{dR}(X; \mathbb{R}) \ : \ \Lambda \gamma^{(s)} = 0 \right\} \ \subseteq \ H^{2r+s}_{dR}(X; \mathbb{R}) \ ;$$

one has that

$$\sum_{2r+s=\bullet} H^{(r,s)}_\omega(X; \mathbb{R}) \ \subseteq \ H^\bullet_{dR}(X; \mathbb{R}) \ ,$$

but in general neither the sum is direct, nor the inclusion is an equality.

As proved by Y. Lin in [35, Proposition A.5], if the Hard Lefschetz Condition holds on X, then

$$H^{(0,\bullet)}_\omega(X; \mathbb{R}) \ = \ \mathrm{P}H^\bullet_{\mathrm{d}}(X; \mathbb{R}) \ ,$$

where

$$\mathrm{P}H^\bullet_{\mathrm{d}}(X; \mathbb{R}) \ := \ \frac{\ker \mathrm{d} \cap \ker \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \cap \ker \Lambda}{\mathrm{im}\, \mathrm{d} \lfloor_{\ker \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \cap \ker \Lambda}}$$

is the *primitive cohomology* introduced by L.-S. Tseng and S.-T. Yau in [44, §4.1].

We recall the following result.

Theorem 4.2 ([38, Corollary 2], [48, Theorem 0.1], [40, Proposition 1.4], [27], [44, Proposition 3.13], [12, Theorem 5.4], [6, Remark 2.3])**.** *Let X be a compact manifold endowed with a symplectic structure ω. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) every de Rham cohomology class of X admits a representative being both d*-closed and* d^Λ*-closed, namely, Brylinski's conjecture* [11, Conjecture 2.2.7] *holds on X;*

(ii) the Hard Lefschetz Condition holds on X;

(iii) the natural map $H^\bullet_{\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda; \mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right)}(\wedge^\bullet X) \to H^\bullet_{dR}(X; \mathbb{R})$ induced by the identity is surjective;

(iv) the natural map $H^\bullet_{\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda; \mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda \right)}(\wedge^\bullet X) \to H^\bullet_{dR}(X; \mathbb{R})$ induced by the identity is an isomorphism;

(v) *the bounded $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\wedge^\bullet X$ endowed with the endomorphisms $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{End}^1(\wedge^\bullet X)$ and $\mathrm{d}^\Lambda \in \mathrm{End}^{-1}(\wedge^\bullet X)$ satisfies the $\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda$-Lemma;*

(vi) *the decomposition*

$$H^\bullet_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R}) \;=\; \bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{N}} L^r\, H^{(0,\bullet-2r)}_\omega(X;\mathbb{R})\;,$$

holds.

In order to apply Corollary 3.5 to the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\wedge^\bullet X$ endowed with the endomorphisms $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{End}^1(\wedge^\bullet X)$ and $\mathrm{d}^\Lambda \in \mathrm{End}^{-1}(\wedge^\bullet X)$, satisfying $\mathrm{d}^2 = \left(\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)^2 = \left[\mathrm{d},\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right] = 0$, we need the following result.

Lemma 4.3 ([11, Theorem 2.3.1], [17, Theorem 2.5]; see also [17, Theorem 2.9], [13, Theorem 5.2])**.** *Let X be a compact manifold endowed with a symplectic structure ω. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\wedge^\bullet X$ endowed with the endomorphisms $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{End}^1(\wedge^\bullet X)$ and $\mathrm{d}^\Lambda \in \mathrm{End}^{-1}(\wedge^\bullet X)$. Both the spectral sequences associated to the canonical double complex $\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet}\wedge^\bullet X,\, \mathrm{d}\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{id},\, \mathrm{d}^\Lambda\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\beta\right)$ degenerate at the first level.*

Hence, by applying Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 3.5 to the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\wedge^\bullet X$ endowed with $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{End}^1(\wedge^\bullet X)$ and $\mathrm{d}^\Lambda \in \mathrm{End}^{-1}(\wedge^\bullet X)$, we get the following result.

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be a compact manifold endowed with a symplectic structure ω. The inequality*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^\bullet_{\left(\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^\bullet_{\left(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)}(X) \;\geq\; 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^\bullet_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R}) \tag{5}$$

holds. Furthermore, the equality in (5) *holds if and only if X satisfies the Hard Lefschetz Condition.*

Consider $X = \Gamma\backslash G$ a solvmanifold endowed with a G-left-invariant symplectic structure ω; in particular, ω induces a linear symplectic structure on $\mathfrak{g}$; therefore the endomorphisms $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{End}^1(\wedge^\bullet X)$ and $\mathrm{d}^\Lambda \in \mathrm{End}^{-1}(\wedge^\bullet X)$ yield endomorphisms $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{End}^1(\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*)$ and $\mathrm{d}^\Lambda \in \mathrm{End}^{-1}(\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*)$ on the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{R}$-vector sub-space $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \hookrightarrow \wedge^\bullet X$, where we identify objects on $\mathfrak{g}$ with G-left-invariant objects on X by means of left-translations. For $\sharp \in \left\{\left(\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right),\, (\mathrm{d};\mathrm{d}),\, \left(\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right),\, \left(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)\right\}$, one has the natural map $\iota\colon H^\bullet_\sharp(\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*) \to H^\bullet_\sharp(X)$. We recall the following result, which allows to compute the cohomologies of a completely-solvable solvmanifold by using just left-invariant forms; recall, e.g., that, by A. Hattori's theorem [28, Corollary 4.2], if G is *completely-solvable* (that is, for any $g \in G$, all the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{Ad}_g := \mathrm{d}\,(\psi_g)_e \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ are real, equivalently, if, for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, all the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{ad}_X := [X,\cdot] \in \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ are real, where $\psi\colon G \ni g \mapsto \left(\psi_g\colon h \mapsto g\,h\,g^{-1}\right) \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ and e is the identity element of G), then the natural map $H^\bullet_{dR}(\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*) \to H^\bullet_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem 4.5 ([36, Theorem 3, Remark 4], see also [4])**.** *Let $X = \Gamma\backslash G$ be a completely-solvable solvmanifold endowed with a G-left-invariant symplectic structure ω. Then, for $\sharp \in \left\{\left(\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right),\, (\mathrm{d};\mathrm{d}),\, \left(\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right),\, \left(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)\right\}$, the natural map*

$$\iota\colon H^\bullet_\sharp(\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*) \to H^\bullet_\sharp(X)$$

is an isomorphism.

Example 4.6. Let $\mathbb{I}_3 := \mathbb{Z}\,[\mathrm{i}]^3\Big\backslash\left(\mathbb{C}^3,\, *\right)$ be the *Iwasawa manifold*, where the group structure $*$ on $\mathbb{C}^3$ is defined by

$$(z_1,\, z_2,\, z_3) * (w_1,\, w_2,\, w_3) := (z_1 + w_1,\, z_2 + w_2,\, z_3 + z_1 w_2 + w_3)\;.$$

There exists a $\left(\mathbb{C}^3,\, *\right)$-left-invariant co-frame $\left\{e^j\right\}_{j\in\{1,\dots,6\}}$ of T^*X such that

$$\mathrm{d}\,e^1 \;=\; \mathrm{d}\,e^2 \;=\; \mathrm{d}\,e^3 \;=\; \mathrm{d}\,e^4 \;=\; 0\;, \qquad \mathrm{d}\,e^5 \;=\; -e^{13} + e^{24}\;, \qquad \mathrm{d}\,e^6 \;=\; -e^{14} - e^{23}$$

(in order to simplify notation, we shorten, e.g., $e^{12} := e^1 \wedge e^2$).

Consider the $\left(\mathbb{C}^3,\, *\right)$-left-invariant almost-Kähler structure $(J,\, \omega,\, g)$ on $\mathbb{I}_3$ defined by

$$Je^1 \;:=\; -e^6\;, \quad Je^2 \;:=\; -e^5\;, \quad Je^3 \;:=\; -e^4\;, \qquad \omega \;:=\; e^{16} + e^{25} + e^{34}\;, \qquad g \;:=\; \omega\,(\cdot,\, J\,\cdot)\;;$$

it has been studied in [7, §4] as an example of an almost-Kähler structure non-inducing a decomposition in cohomology according to the almost-complex structure, [7, Proposition 4.1].

$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^\bullet_\sharp(\mathbb{I}_3)$	$(\mathrm{d};\mathrm{d})$	$\left(\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)$	$\left(\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)$	$\left(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)$
0	1	1	1	1
1	4	4	4	4
2	8	8	9	10
3	10	10	11	11
4	8	8	10	9
5	4	4	4	4
6	1	1	1	1

TABLE 1. The symplectic cohomologies of the Iwasawa manifold $\mathbb{I}_3 := \mathbb{Z}\,[\mathrm{i}]^3 \backslash \left(\mathbb{C}^3,\, *\right)$ endowed with the symplectic structure $\omega := e^1 \wedge e^6 + e^2 \wedge e^5 + e^3 \wedge e^4$.

The symplectic cohomologies of the Iwasawa manifold $\mathbb{I}_3$ endowed with the $\left(\mathbb{C}^3,\, *\right)$-left-invariant symplectic structure ω can be computed using just $\left(\mathbb{C}^3,\, *\right)$-left-invariant forms, and their real dimensions are summarized in Table 1.

In particular, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^1_{\left(\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^1_{\left(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)}(X) - 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^1_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R}) &= 0\,, \\
\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^2_{\left(\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^2_{\left(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)}(X) - 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^2_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R}) &= 3\,, \\
\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^3_{\left(\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^3_{\left(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)}(X) - 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^3_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R}) &= 2\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.7. More in general, let X be a compact manifold endowed with a Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$, and denote by G the Poisson tensor associated to $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$. By following J.-L. Koszul, [33], one defines $\delta := [\iota_G,\, \mathrm{d}] \in \mathrm{End}^{-1}\left(\wedge^\bullet X\right)$. One has that $\delta^2 = 0$ and $[\mathrm{d},\delta] = 0$, [33, page 266, page 265], see also [11, Proposition 1.2.3, Theorem 1.3.1].

One has that, on any compact Poisson manifold, the first spectral sequence ${}'E_r^{\bullet,\bullet}$ associated to the canonical double complex $(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X,\, \mathrm{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{id},\, \delta \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \beta)$ degenerates at the first level, [17, Theorem 2.5].

On the other hand, M. Fernández, R. Ibáñez, and M. de León provided an example of a compact Poisson manifold (more precisely, of a nilmanifold endowed with a co-symplectic structure) such that the second spectral sequence ${}''E_r^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X,\, \mathrm{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{id},\, \delta \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \beta\right)$ does not degenerate at the first level, [17, Theorem 5.1].

In fact, on a compact $2n$-dimensional manifold X endowed with a symplectic structure ω, the symplectic-$\star$-operator $\star_\omega \colon \wedge^\bullet X \to \wedge^{2n-\bullet} X$ induces the isomorphism $\star_\omega \colon {}'E_r^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2} \xrightarrow{\simeq} {}''E_r^{\bullet_2,2n+\bullet_1}$, [17, Theorem 2.9]; it follows that, on a compact symplectic manifold, also the second spectral sequence ${}''E_r^{\bullet,\bullet}\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} \wedge^\bullet X,\, \mathrm{d} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{id},\, \delta \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \beta\right)$ actually degenerates at the first level, [11, Theorem 2.3.1], see also [17, Theorem 2.8].

4.3. **Generalized complex structures.** Let X be a compact differentiable manifold of dimension $2n$. Consider the bundle $TX \oplus T^*X$ endowed with the natural symmetric pairing

$$\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle :\ (TX \oplus T^*X) \times (TX \oplus T^*X) \to \mathbb{R}\,, \qquad \langle X + \xi \,|\, Y + \eta \rangle \ := \ \frac{1}{2}\,\left(\xi(Y) + \eta(X)\right)\,.$$

Fix a d-closed 3-form H on X. On the space $\mathcal{C}^\infty\left(X;\, TX \oplus T^*X\right)$ of smooth sections of $TX \oplus T^*X$ over X, define the *H-twisted Courant bracket* as

$$[\cdot,\, \cdot\cdot]_H : \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(X;\, TX \oplus T^*X\right) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(X;\, TX \oplus T^*X\right) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(X;\, TX \oplus T^*X\right)\,,$$

$$[X + \xi,\, Y + \eta]_H \ := \ [X,\, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \eta - \mathcal{L}_Y \xi - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{d}\,(\iota_X \eta - \iota_Y \xi) + \iota_Y \iota_X H$$

(where $\iota_X \in \mathrm{End}^{-1}\left(\wedge^\bullet X\right)$ denotes the interior product with $X \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X; TX)$ and $\mathcal{L}_X := [\iota_X,\, \mathrm{d}] \in \mathrm{End}^0\left(\wedge^\bullet X\right)$ denotes the Lie derivative along $X \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X; TX)$); the H-twisted Courant bracket can be

seen also as a derived bracket induced by the H-twisted differential $\mathrm{d}_H := \mathrm{d} + H \wedge \cdot$, see [24, §3.2], [26, §2].

Furthermore, consider the *Clifford action* of $TX \oplus T^*X$ on the space of differential forms with respect to $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle$,

$$\mathrm{Cliff}\,(TX \oplus T^*X) \times \wedge^\bullet X \to \wedge^{\bullet-1} X \oplus \wedge^{\bullet+1} X \;, \qquad (X+\xi)\cdot\varphi \;:=\; \iota_X\varphi + \xi \wedge \varphi \;,$$

and its bi-$\mathbb{C}$-linear extension $\mathrm{Cliff}\,((TX \oplus T^*X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \times (\wedge^\bullet X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \to \big(\wedge^{\bullet-1} X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\big) \oplus \big(\wedge^{\bullet+1} X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\big)$, where $\mathrm{Cliff}\,(TX \oplus T^*X) \;:=\; \Big(\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{k} (TX \oplus T^*X)\Big) \Big/ \{v \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} v - \langle v \,|\, v \rangle \;:\; v \in TX \oplus T^*X\}$ is the Clifford algebra associated to $TX \oplus T^*X$ and $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle$.

Recall that an *H-twisted generalized complex structure* on X, [24, Definition 4.14, Definition 4.18], [26, Definition 3.1] is an endomorphism $\mathcal{J} \in \mathrm{End}\,(TX \oplus T^*X)$ such that *(i)* $\mathcal{J}^2 = -\,\mathrm{id}_{TX\oplus T^*X}$, and *(ii)* $\mathcal{J}$ is orthogonal with respect to $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle$, and *(iii)* the Nijenhuis tensor

$$\mathrm{Nij}_{\mathcal{J},H} \;:=\; -\,[\mathcal{J}\,\cdot, \mathcal{J}\,\cdot\,\cdot]_H + \mathcal{J}\,[\mathcal{J}\,\cdot, \cdot\cdot]_H + \mathcal{J}\,[\cdot, \mathcal{J}\,\cdot\,\cdot]_H + \mathcal{J}\,[\cdot, \cdot\cdot]_H \;\in\; (TX \oplus T^*X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} (TX \oplus T^*X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} (TX \oplus T^*X)^*$$

of $\mathcal{J}$ with respect to the H-twisted Courant bracket vanishes identically.

Equivalently, [24, Proposition 4.3], (by setting $L =: L_{\mathcal{J}}$ the i-eigen-bundle of the $\mathbb{C}$-linear extension of $\mathcal{J}$ to $(TX \oplus T^*X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$), a generalized complex structure on X is identified by a sub-bundle L of $(TX \oplus T^*X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ such that *(i)* L is maximal isotropic with respect to $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle$, and *(ii)* L is involutive with respect to the H-twisted Courant bracket, and *(iii)* $L \cap \bar{L} = \{0\}$.

Equivalently, [24, Theorem 4.8], (by choosing a complex form ρ whose Clifford annihilator

$$L_\rho \;:=\; \{v \in (TX \oplus T^*X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \;:\; v \cdot \rho = 0\}$$

is the i-eigen-bundle $L_{\mathcal{J}}$ of the $\mathbb{C}$-linear extension of $\mathcal{J}$ to $(TX \oplus T^*X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$), a generalized complex structure on X is identified by a sub-bundle $U =: U_{\mathcal{J}}$ (which is called the *canonical bundle*, [24, §4.1], [26, Definition 3.7]) of complex rank 1 of $\wedge^\bullet X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ being locally generated by a form $\rho = \exp(B + \mathrm{i}\,\omega) \wedge \Omega$, where $B \in \wedge^2 X$, and $\omega \in \wedge^2 X$, and $\Omega = \theta^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^k \in \wedge^k X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ with $\{\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^k\}$ a set of linearly independent complex 1-forms, such that *(i)* $\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega} \wedge \omega^{n-k} \neq 0$, and *(ii)* there exists $v \in (TX \oplus T^*X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathrm{d}_H\,\rho = v \cdot \rho$, where $\mathrm{d}_H := \mathrm{d} + H \wedge \cdot$.

By definition, the *type* of a generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ on X, [24, §4.3], [26, Definition 3.5], is the upper-semi-continuous function

$$\mathrm{type}\,(\mathcal{J}) \;:=\; \frac{1}{2}\,\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\,(T^*X \cap \mathcal{J}T^*X)$$

on X, equivalently, [26, Definition 1.1], the degree of the form Ω.

A generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ on X induces a $\mathbb{Z}$-graduation on the space of complex differential forms on X, [24, §4.4], [26, Proposition 3.8]. Namely, define, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$U^k \;=:\; U^k_{\mathcal{J}} \;:=\; \wedge^{n-k} \bar{L}_{\mathcal{J}} \cdot U_{\mathcal{J}} \;\subseteq\; \wedge^\bullet X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\,,$$

where $L_{\mathcal{J}}$ is the i-eigenspace of the $\mathbb{C}$-linear extension of $\mathcal{J}$ to $(TX \oplus T^*X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $U^n_{\mathcal{J}} := U_{\mathcal{J}}$ is the canonical bundle of $\mathcal{J}$.

For a $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot\cdot \rangle$-orthogonal endomorphism $\mathcal{J} \in \mathrm{End}\,(TX \oplus T^*X)$ satisfying $\mathcal{J}^2 = -\,\mathrm{id}_{TX\oplus T^*X}$, the $\mathbb{Z}$-graduation $U^\bullet_{\mathcal{J}}$ still makes sense, and the condition that $\mathrm{Nij}_{\mathcal{J},H} = 0$ turns out to be equivalent, [24, Theorem 4.3], [26, Theorem 3.14], to

$$\mathrm{d}_H \colon U^\bullet_{\mathcal{J}} \to U^{\bullet+1}_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus U^{\bullet-1}_{\mathcal{J}}\,.$$

Therefore, on a compact differentiable manifold endowed with a generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$, one has, [24, §4.4], [26, §3],

$$\mathrm{d}_H \;=\; \partial_{\mathcal{J},H} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H} \qquad \text{where} \qquad \partial_{\mathcal{J},H} \colon U^\bullet_{\mathcal{J}} \to U^{\bullet+1}_{\mathcal{J}} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H} \colon U^\bullet_{\mathcal{J}} \to U^{\bullet-1}_{\mathcal{J}}\,.$$

Define also, [24, page 52], [26, Remark at page 97],

$$\mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{J}}_H \;:=\; -\,\mathrm{i}\,\big(\partial_{\mathcal{J},H} - \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}\big) : U^\bullet_{\mathcal{J}} \to U^{\bullet+1}_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus U^{\bullet-1}_{\mathcal{J}}\,.$$

By abuse of notation, one says that X *satisfies the $\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}$-Lemma* if $\big(U^\bullet,\, \partial_{\mathcal{J},H},\, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}\big)$ satisfies the $\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}$-Lemma, and one says that X *satisfies the* $\mathrm{d}_H\,\mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{J}}_H$*-Lemma* if $\Big(U^\bullet,\, \mathrm{d}_H,\, \mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{J}}_H\Big)$ satisfies the $\mathrm{d}_H\,\mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{J}}_H$-Lemma. Actually, it turns out that X satisfies the $\mathrm{d}_H\,\mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{J}}_H$-Lemma if and only if X satisfies the $\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}$-Lemma, [13, Remark at page 129]: indeed, note that $\ker \partial_{\mathcal{J},H}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H} = \ker \mathrm{d}_H\,\mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{J}}_H$, and $\ker \partial_{\mathcal{J},H} \cap \ker \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H} = \ker \mathrm{d}_H \cap \ker \mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{J}}_H$, and $\mathrm{im}\,\partial_{\mathcal{J},H} + \mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H} = \mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d}_H + \mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{J}}_H$.

Moreover, the following result by G. R. Cavalcanti holds.

Theorem 4.8 ([12, Theorem 4.2], [13, Theorem 4.1, Corollary 2])**.** *A manifold X endowed with an H-twisted generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ satisfies the $\mathrm{d}_H\,\mathrm{d}_H^{\mathcal{J}}$-Lemma if and only if $\left(\ker \mathrm{d}_H^{\mathcal{J}},\, \mathrm{d}\right) \hookrightarrow (U^\bullet,\, \mathrm{d}_H)$ is a quasi-isomorphism of differential $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. In this case, it follows that the splitting $\wedge^\bullet X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} U^k$ gives rise to a decomposition in cohomology.*

An application of [16, Proposition 5.17, 5.21] yields the following result.

Theorem 4.9 ([12, Theorem 4.4], [13, Theorem 5.1])**.** *A manifold X endowed with an H-twisted generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ satisfies the $\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{J}}$-lemma if and only if the canonical spectral sequence degenerates at the first level and the decomposition of complex forms into sub-bundles U^k induces a decomposition in cohomology.*

Given a compact complex manifold X endowed with an H-twisted generalized complex structure, consider the following cohomologies:

$$GH_{dR_H}(X) \;:=\; H_{(\mathrm{d}_H;\mathrm{d}_H)}\left(\operatorname{Tot} U_{\mathcal{J}}^\bullet\right)\;,$$

and

$$GH^\bullet_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) \;:=\; H^\bullet_{(\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H};\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H})}\left(U_{\mathcal{J}}^\bullet\right)\;,\quad GH^\bullet_{\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) \;:=\; H^\bullet_{(\partial_{\mathcal{J},H};\partial_{\mathcal{J},H})}\left(U_{\mathcal{J}}^\bullet\right)\;,$$

and

$$GH^\bullet_{BC_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) \;:=\; H^\bullet_{(\partial_{\mathcal{J},H},\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H};\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H})}\left(U_{\mathcal{J}}^\bullet\right)\;,\qquad GH^\bullet_{A_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) \;:=\; H^\bullet_{(\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H};\partial_{\mathcal{J},H},\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H})}\left(U_{\mathcal{J}}^\bullet\right)\;.$$

Note that, for $H=0$, one has $GH_{dR_0}(X) = \operatorname{Tot} H^\bullet_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R})$.

By [24, Proposition 5.1], [26, Proposition 3.15], it follows that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} GH^\bullet_{\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) < +\infty$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} GH^\bullet_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) < +\infty$.

As an application of Theorem 2.4, we get the following result.

Theorem 4.10. *Let X be a compact differentiable manifold endowed with an H-twisted generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} GH^\bullet_{BC_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} GH^\bullet_{A_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) \;\geq\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} GH^\bullet_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} GH^\bullet_{\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X)\;. \tag{6}$$

As an application of Corollary 3.5, we get the following result; compare it also with [12, Theorem 4.4].

Theorem 4.11. *Let X be a compact differentiable manifold endowed with an H-twisted generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- *X satisfies the $\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}$-Lemma;*
- *the Hodge and Frölicher spectral sequences associated to the canonical double complex $\left(\operatorname{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} U_{\mathcal{J}}^\bullet,\, \partial_{\mathcal{J},H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{id},\, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\beta\right)$ degenerate at the first level and the equality in (6),*

  $$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} GH^\bullet_{BC_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} GH^\bullet_{A_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) \;=\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} GH^\bullet_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} GH^\bullet_{\partial_{\mathcal{J},H}}(X)\;,$$

  holds.

Symplectic structures and complex structures provide the fundamental examples of generalized complex structures; in fact, the following generalized Darboux theorem by M. Gualtieri holds. (Recall that a *regular point* of a generalized complex manifold is a point at which the type of the generalized complex structure is locally constant.)

Theorem 4.12 ([24, Theorem 4.35], [26, Theorem 3.6])**.** *For any regular point of a $2n$-dimensional generalized complex manifold with type equal to k, there is an open neighbourhood endowed with a set of local coordinates such that the generalized complex structure is a B-field transform of the standard generalized complex structure of $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}$.*

The standard generalized complex structure of constant type n (that is, locally equivalent to the standard complex structure of $\mathbb{C}^n$), the generalized complex structure of constant type 0 (that is, locally equivalent to the standard symplectic structure of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$), and the B-field transform of a generalized complex structure are recalled in the following examples. See also [24, Example 4.12].

Example 4.13 (Generalized complex structures of type n, [24, Example 4.11, Example 4.25])**.** Let X be a compact $2n$-dimensional manifold endowed with a complex structure $J \in \mathrm{End}(TX)$. Consider the (0-twisted) generalized complex structure

$$\mathcal{J}_J \;:=\; \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -J & 0 \\ \hline 0 & J^* \end{array}\right) \;\in\; \mathrm{End}\left(TX \oplus T^*X\right) \;,$$

where $J^* \in \mathrm{End}(T^*X)$ denotes the dual endomorphism of $J \in \mathrm{End}(TX)$. Note that the i-eigenspace of the $\mathbb{C}$-linear extension of $\mathcal{J}_J$ to $(TX \oplus T^*X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}$ is

$$L_{\mathcal{J}_J} \;=\; T^{0,1}_J X \oplus \left(T^{1,0}_J X\right)^* \;,$$

and the canonical bundle is

$$U^n_{\mathcal{J}_J} \;=\; \wedge^{n,0}_J X \;.$$

Hence, one gets that, [24, Example 4.25],

$$U^\bullet_{\mathcal{J}_J} \;=\; \bigoplus_{p-q=\bullet} \wedge^{p,q}_J X \;,$$

and that

$$\partial_{\mathcal{J}_J} \;=\; \partial_J \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}_J} \;=\; \overline{\partial}_J \;;$$

note that $\mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{J}_J}$ is the operator $\mathrm{d}^c_J := -\,\mathrm{i}(\partial - \overline{\partial})$, [24, Remark 4.26]. Note also that X satisfies the $\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{J}_J}$-Lemma if and only if X satisfies the $\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^c_J$-Lemma, and that the Hodge and Frölicher spectral sequence associated to the canonical double complex $\left(\mathrm{Doub}^{\bullet,\bullet} U^\bullet_{\mathcal{J}_J},\, \partial_{\mathcal{J}_J} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{id},\, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}_J} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \beta\right)$ degenerates at the first level if and only if the Hodge and Frölicher spectral sequence associated to the double complex $\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}_J X,\, \partial_J,\, \overline{\partial}_J\right)$ does, [12, Remark at page 76].

In particular, it follows that, for $\sharp \in \left\{\overline{\partial},\, \partial,\, BC,\, A\right\}$,

$$GH^\bullet_{\sharp_{\mathcal{J}_J}}(X) \;=\; \mathrm{Tot}^\bullet\, H^{\bullet,-\bullet}_{\sharp_J}(X) \;=\; \bigoplus_{p-q=\bullet} H^{p,q}_{\sharp_J}(X) \;.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 4.10 and Theorem 4.11, and by using the equalities $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}_{BC_J}(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{n-\bullet_2,n-\bullet_1}_{A_J}(X)$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{\bullet_1,\bullet_2}_{\overline{\partial}_J}(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{n-\bullet_2,n-\bullet_1}_{\partial_J}(X)$, one gets the following result, compare Corollary 4.1, [5, Theorem A, Theorem B].

Corollary 4.14. *Let X be a compact complex manifold. Then the inequality*

$$\sum_{p-q=\bullet} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC_J}(X) \;\geq\; \sum_{p-q=\bullet} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}_J}(X)$$

holds. Furthermore, X satisfies the $\partial_J\overline{\partial}_J$-Lemma if and only if (i) the Hodge and Frölicher spectral sequence of X degenerates at the first level, namely,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^\bullet_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C}) \;=\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Tot}^\bullet\, H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\overline{\partial}_J}(X) \;,$$

and (ii) the equality

$$\sum_{p-q=\bullet} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC_J}(X) \;=\; \sum_{p-q=\bullet} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}_J}(X)$$

holds.

$\mathbb{I}_3 := \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{i}]^3\backslash\mathbb{C}^3$ **classes**	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Tot}^{-3} H_\sharp^{\bullet,-\bullet}(X)$				$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Tot}^{-2} H_\sharp^{\bullet,-\bullet}(X)$				$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Tot}^{-1} H_\sharp^{\bullet,-\bullet}(X)$				$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Tot}^{0} H_\sharp^{\bullet,-\bullet}(X)$				$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Tot}^{1} H_\sharp^{\bullet,-\bullet}(X)$				$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Tot}^{2} H_\sharp^{\bullet,-\bullet}(X)$				$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Tot}^{3} H_\sharp^{\bullet,-\bullet}(X)$			
	$\overline{\partial}$	∂	BC	A	$\overline{\partial}$	∂	BC	A	$\overline{\partial}$	∂	BC	A	$\overline{\partial}$	∂	BC	A	$\overline{\partial}$	∂	BC	A	$\overline{\partial}$	∂	BC	A	$\overline{\partial}$	∂	BC	A
(i)	1	1	1	1	5	5	5	5	11	11	11	11	12	12	12	12	11	11	11	11	5	5	5	5	1	1	1	1
(ii.a)	1	1	1	1	4	4	4	4	9	9	11	11	10	10	11	11	9	9	11	11	4	4	4	4	1	1	1	1
(ii.b)	1	1	1	1	4	4	4	4	9	9	11	11	10	10	10	10	9	9	11	11	4	4	4	4	1	1	1	1
(iii.a)	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	8	8	11	11	10	10	11	11	8	8	11	11	3	3	3	3	1	1	1	1
(iii.b)	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	8	8	11	11	10	10	10	10	8	8	11	11	3	3	3	3	1	1	1	1
	$\mathbf{b_0 = 1}$				$\mathbf{b_1 = 4}$				$\mathbf{b_2 = 8}$				$\mathbf{b_3 = 10}$				$\mathbf{b_4 = 8}$				$\mathbf{b_5 = 4}$				$\mathbf{b_6 = 1}$			

TABLE 2. Generalized complex cohomologies of the Iwasawa manifold.

Example 4.15 (Generalized complex structures of type 0, [24, Example 4.10])**.** Let X be a compact $2n$-dimensional manifold endowed with a symplectic structure $\omega \in \wedge^2 X \simeq \mathrm{Hom}\,(TX; T^*X)$. Consider the (0-twisted) generalized complex structure

$$\mathcal{J}_\omega \;:=\; \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & -\omega^{-1} \\ \hline \omega & 0 \end{array}\right) \,,$$

where $\omega^{-1} \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(T^*X; TX)$ denotes the inverse of $\omega \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(TX; T^*X)$. Note that the i-eigenspace of the $\mathbb{C}$-linear extension of $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ to $(TX \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \oplus (T^*X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$ is

$$L_{\mathcal{J}_\omega} \;=\; \{X - \mathrm{i}\,\omega\,(X,\,\cdot) \;:\; X \in TX \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\} \,,$$

which has Clifford annihilator $\exp(\mathrm{i}\,\omega)$, and the canonical bundle is

$$U^n_{\mathcal{J}_\omega} \;=\; \mathbb{C}\,\langle \exp(\mathrm{i}\,\omega)\rangle \,.$$

In particular, one gets that, [13, Theorem 2.2],

$$U^{n-\bullet}_{\mathcal{J}_\omega} \;=\; \exp(\mathrm{i}\,\omega)\,\left(\exp\left(\frac{\Lambda}{2\,\mathrm{i}}\right)(\wedge^\bullet X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})\right) \,,$$

where $\Lambda := -\iota_{\omega^{-1}}$. Note that, [13, §2.2],

$$\mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{J}_\omega} \;=\; \mathrm{d}^\Lambda \,.$$

By considering the natural isomorphism

$$\varphi\colon\; \wedge^\bullet X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to \wedge^\bullet X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\,, \qquad \varphi(\alpha) \;:=\; \exp(\mathrm{i}\,\omega)\,\left(\exp\left(\frac{\Lambda}{2\,\mathrm{i}}\right)\alpha\right) \,,$$

one gets that, [13, Corollary 1],

$$\varphi\,(\wedge^\bullet X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \simeq U^{n-\bullet}\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varphi\,\mathrm{d} \;=\; \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}_\omega}\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi\,\mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{J}_\omega} \;=\; -2\,\mathrm{i}\,\partial_{\mathcal{J}_\omega}\varphi\,;$$

in particular,

$$GH^\bullet_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}_\omega}}(X) \;\simeq\; H^{n-\bullet}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})\,.$$

In particular, one recovers Theorem 4.4, namely,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^\bullet_{\left(\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^\bullet_{\left(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^\Lambda;\mathrm{d},\mathrm{d}^\Lambda\right)}(X) \;\geq\; 2\,\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^\bullet_{dR}(X;\mathbb{R})\,,$$

and the equality holds if and only if X satisfies the Hard Lefschetz Condition.

Example 4.16 (B-transform, [24, §3.3])**.** Let X be a compact $2n$-dimensional manifold endowed with an H-twisted generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$, and let B be a d-closed 2-form. Consider the H-twisted generalized complex structure

$$\mathcal{J}^B \;:=\; \exp(-B)\;\mathcal{J}\;\exp B \qquad \text{where} \qquad \exp B \;=\; \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathrm{id}_{TX} & 0 \\ \hline B & \mathrm{id}_{T^*X} \end{array}\right) \,.$$

Note that the i-eigenspace of the $\mathbb{C}$-linear extension of $\mathcal{J}$ to $(TX \oplus T^*X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is, [12, Example 2.3],

$$L_{\mathcal{J}^B} \;=\; \{X + \xi - \iota_X B \;:\; X + \xi \in L_{\mathcal{J}}\} \,,$$

and the canonical bundle is, [12, Example 2.6],

$$U^n_{\mathcal{J}^B} \;=\; \exp B \wedge U^n_{\mathcal{J}} \,.$$

Hence one gets that, [13, §2.3],

$$U^\bullet_{\mathcal{J}^B} \;=\; \exp B \wedge U^\bullet_{\mathcal{J}} \,.$$

and that, [13, §2.3],

$$\partial_{\mathcal{J}^B} \;=\; \exp(-B)\;\partial_{\mathcal{J}}\;\exp B \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}^B} \;=\; \exp(-B)\;\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}\;\exp B \,.$$

In particular, one gets that $\mathcal{J}$ satisfies the $\partial_{\mathcal{J}}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}$-Lemma if and only if $\mathcal{J}^B$ satisfies the $\partial_{\mathcal{J}^B}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}^B}$-Lemma.

Remark 4.17. We recall that, given a d-closed 3-form H on a manifold X, an *H-twisted generalized Kähler structure* on X is a pair $(\mathcal{J}_1,\,\mathcal{J}_2)$ of H-twisted generalized complex structures on X such that *(i)* $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ commute, and *(ii)* the symmetric pairing $\langle \mathcal{J}_1\cdot,\,\mathcal{J}_2\,\cdot\cdot\rangle$ is positive definite. Generalized Kähler geometry is equivalent to a bi-Hermitian geometry with torsion, [25, Theorem 2.18].

We recall that a compact manifold X endowed with an H-twisted generalized Kähler structure $(\mathcal{J}_1,\,\mathcal{J}_2)$ satisfies both the $\mathrm{d}_H\,\mathrm{d}_H^{\mathcal{J}_1}$-Lemma and the $\mathrm{d}_H\,\mathrm{d}_H^{\mathcal{J}_2}$-Lemma, [25, Corollary 4.2].

Any Kähler structure provide an example of a 0-twisted generalized Kähler structure. A left-invariant non-trivial twisted generalized Kähler structure on a (non-completely solvable) solvmanifold (which is the total space of a $\mathbb{T}^2$-bundle over the Inoue surface, [18, Proposition 3.2]) has been constructed by A. Fino and the second author, [18, Theorem 3.5].

Remark 4.18. Note that A. Tomasiello proved in [43, §B] that satisfying the $\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{J}}$-Lemma is a stable property under small deformations.

References

[1] A. Aeppli, On the cohomology structure of Stein manifolds, *Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis (Minneapolis, Minn., 1964)*, Springer, Berlin, 1965, pp. 58–70.

[2] L. Alessandrini, G. Bassanelli, Small deformations of a class of compact non-Kähler manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** (1990), no. 4, 1059–1062.

[3] D. Angella, The cohomologies of the Iwasawa manifold and of its small deformations, *J. Geom. Anal.* **23** (2013), no. 3, 1355-1378.

[4] D. Angella, H. Kasuya, Bott-Chern cohomology of solvmanifolds, `arXiv:1212.5708v1 [math.DG]`.

[5] D. Angella, A. Tomassini, On the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma and Bott-Chern cohomology, DOI: `10.1007/s00222-012-0406-3`, to appear in *Invent. Math.*.

[6] D. Angella, A. Tomassini, Symplectic manifolds and cohomological decomposition, `arXiv:1211.2565v1 [math.SG]`, to appear in *J. Symplectic Geom.*.

[7] D. Angella, A. Tomassini, W. Zhang, On Cohomological Decomposability of Almost–Kähler Structures, `arXiv:1211.2928v1 [math.DG]`, to appear in *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*.

[8] B. Bigolin, Gruppi di Aeppli, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3)* **23** (1969), no. 2, 259–287.

[9] J.-M. Bismut, Hypoelliptic Laplacian and Bott-Chern cohomology, preprint (Orsay) (2011).

[10] R. Bott, S. S. Chern, Hermitian vector bundles and the equidistribution of the zeroes of their holomorphic sections, *Acta Math.* **114** (1965), no. 1, 71–112.

[11] J.-L. Brylinski, A differential complex for Poisson manifolds, *J. Differ. Geom.* **28** (1988), no. 1, 93–114.

[12] G. R. Cavalcanti, New aspects of the dd^c-lemma, Oxford University D. Phil thesis, `arXiv:math/0501406v1 [math.DG]`.

[13] G. R. Cavalcanti, The decomposition of forms and cohomology of generalized complex manifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.* **57** (2006), no. 1, 121–132.

[14] G. R. Cavalcanti, Computations of generalized Dolbeault cohomology, in *Special metrics and supersymmetry*, 57–69, AIP Conf. Proc., 1093, Amer. Inst. Phys., Melville, NY, 2009.

[15] A. Connes, Noncommutative differential geometry, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **62** (1985), 257–360.

[16] P. Deligne, Ph. A. Griffiths, J. Morgan, D. P. Sullivan, Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **29** (1975), no. 3, 245–274.

[17] M. Fernández, R. Ibáñez, M. de León, The canonical spectral sequences for Poisson manifolds, *Isr. J. Math.* **106** (1998), no. 1, 133–155.

[18] A. Fino, A. Tomassini, Non-Kähler solvmanifolds with generalized Kähler structure, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **7** (2009), no. 2, 1–14.

[19] A. Frölicher, Relations between the cohomology groups of Dolbeault and topological invariants, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **41** (1955), 641–644.

[20] A. Fujiki, On automorphism groups of compact Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **44** (1978), no. 3, 225–258.

[21] W. M. Goldman, J. J. Millson, The deformation theory of representations of fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **67** (1988), 43–96.

[22] T. G. Goodwillie, Cyclic homology, derivations, and the free loopspace, *Topology* **24** (1985), no. 2, 187–215.

[23] Ph. A. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Reprint of the 1978 original, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994.

[24] M. Gualtieri, Generalized complex geometry, Oxford University DPhil thesis, `arXiv:math/0401221v1 [math.DG]`.

[25] M. Gualtieri, Generalized geometry and the Hodge decomposition, `arXiv:math/0409093v1 [math.DG]`.

[26] M. Gualtieri, Generalized complex geometry, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **174** (2011), no. 1, 75–123.

[27] V. Guillemin, Symplectic Hodge theory and the $\mathrm{d}\,\delta$-Lemma, preprint, Massachusetts Insitute of Technology, 2001.

[28] A. Hattori, Spectral sequence in the de Rham cohomology of fibre bundles, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I* **8** (1960), no. 1960, 289–331.

[29] N. J. Hitchin, Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds, *Q. J. Math.* **54** (2003), no. 3, 281–308.

[30] N. J. Hitchin, Generalized geometry—an introduction, in *Handbook of pseudo-Riemannian geometry and supersymmetry*, 185–208, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., **16**, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2010.

[31] K. Kodaira, D. C. Spencer, On deformations of complex analytic structures. III. Stability theorems for complex structures, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **71** (1960), no. 1, 43–76.

[32] R. Kooistra, Regulator currents on compact complex manifolds, Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Alberta (Canada), 2011.

[33] J.-L. Koszul, Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie, The mathematical heritage of Élie Cartan (Lyon, 1984), *Astérisque* **1985**, Numero Hors Serie, 257–271.

[34] T.-J. Li, W. Zhang, Comparing tamed and compatible symplectic cones and cohomological properties of almost complex manifolds, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **17** (2009), no. 4, 651–684.

[35] Y. Lin, Symplectic Harmonic theory and the Federer-Fleming deformation theorem, `arXiv:1112.2442v3 [math.SG]`.

[36] M. Macrì, Cohomological properties of unimodular six dimensional solvable Lie algebras, `arXiv:1111.5958v2 [math.DG]`.

[37] Yu. I. Manin, Three constructions of Frobenius manifolds: a comparative study, Sir Michael Atiyah: a great mathematician of the twentieth century, *Asian J. Math.* **3** (1999), no. 1, 179–220.
[38] O. Mathieu, Harmonic cohomology classes of symplectic manifolds, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **70** (1995), no. 1, 1–9.
[39] J. McCleary, *A user's guide to spectral sequences*, Second edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **58**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
[40] S. A. Merkulov, Formality of canonical symplectic complexes and Frobenius manifolds, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **1998** (1998), no. 14, 727–733.
[41] S. A. Merkulov, Strong homotopy algebras of a Kähler manifold, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **1999** (1999), no. 3, 153–164.
[42] M. Schweitzer, Autour de la cohomologie de Bott-Chern, `arXiv:0709.3528v1 [math.AG]`.
[43] A. Tomasiello, Reformulating supersymmetry with a generalized Dolbeault operator, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2008**, no. 2, 010, 25 pp.
[44] L.-S. Tseng, S.-T. Yau, Cohomology and Hodge Theory on Symplectic Manifolds: I, *J. Differ. Geom.* **91** (2012), no. 3, 383–416.
[45] L.-S. Tseng, S.-T. Yau, Cohomology and Hodge Theory on Symplectic Manifolds: II, *J. Differ. Geom.* **91** (2012), no. 3, 417–443.
[46] L.-S. Tseng, S.-T. Yau, Generalized Cohomologies and Supersymmetry, `arXiv:1111.6968v1 [hep-th]`.
[47] J. Varouchas, Proprietés cohomologiques d'une classe de variétés analytiques complexes compactes, *Séminaire d'analyse P. Lelong-P. Dolbeault-H. Skoda, années 1983/1984*, 233–243, Lecture Notes in Math., **1198**, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
[48] D. Yan, Hodge structure on symplectic manifolds, *Adv. Math.* **120** (1996), no. 1, 143–154.

(Daniele Angella) Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 5, 56127, Pisa, Italy

E-mail address: `angella@mail.dm.unipi.it`

Current address: Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica, Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze 53/A, 43124, Parma, Italy

E-mail address: `daniele.angella@math.unipr.it`

(Adriano Tomassini) Dipartimento Di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze 53/A, 43124, Parma, Italy

E-mail address: `adriano.tomassini@unipr.it`